



**800 MHz TRANSITION ADMINISTRATOR, LLC
QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007**

FEBRUARY 29, 2008

John Bush, Managing Director

BearingPoint, Inc.

1676 International Drive

McLean, VA 22102

T: 703.747.8793

John.Bush@BearingPoint.com**Brett Haan, Managing Director**

BearingPoint, Inc.

1676 International Drive

McLean, VA 22102

T: 703.747.4968

Brett.Haan@BearingPoint.com**Robert B. Kelly, Partner**

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.

1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20004

T: 202.626.6216

F: 202.626.6780

rkelly@ssd.com**Douglas L. Povich, Partner**

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.

8000 Towers Crescent Dr. 14th Floor

Tysons Corner, VA 22182

T: 703.720.7888

F: 703.720.7801

dpovich@ssd.com**Alan J. (Joe) Boyer, President**

Baseline Wireless Services, LLC

2770 Arapahoe Road, Suite 132-133

Lafayette, CO 80026

T: 303.444.1480

F: 888.361.0603

JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| OVERVIEW..... | 1 |
| I. Program-wide Matters and Recent developments..... | 4 |
| A. Recent Developments Regarding the Schedule | 4 |
| 1. Deadlines for Completion of Planning | 4 |
| 2. Border Area Licensees..... | 4 |
| 3. Puerto Rico | 5 |
| B. Canadian Border Area Band Plan | 5 |
| C. Sprint Nextel's Monthly Reports | 6 |
| D. 30-Month Benchmark | 6 |
| E. Channel Clearing Request Process | 7 |
| F. Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline..... | 8 |
| II. Stage 1: Channels 1-120..... | 9 |
| A. Planning Funding | 9 |
| B. Negotiations | 9 |
| C. Reconfiguration Implementation Progress..... | 11 |
| III. Stage 2: NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band | 15 |
| A. Planning and Planning Funding | 15 |
| B. Negotiations | 15 |
| 1. Wave 1, Stage 2 | 17 |
| 2. Wave 2, Stage 2 | 18 |
| 3. Wave 3, Stage 2 | 18 |
| 4. Wave 4, Stage 2 | 18 |
| C. Reconfiguration Implementation | 19 |
| 1. Reconfiguration of Subscriber Equipment..... | 21 |
| 2. Implementation Planning Sessions | 22 |
| 3. Implementation Disputes | 23 |
| IV. KEY RECONFIGURATION DATA | 24 |
| A. Licenses to Be Reconfigured | 24 |
| B. Frequency Proposals | 25 |
| C. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review | 26 |
| 1. Stage 1 | 26 |
| 2. Stage 2 | 27 |

| | | |
|------------|--|-----------|
| D. | FCC Reconfiguration Applications..... | 28 |
| E. | Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications (Closing)..... | 29 |
| V. | COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS..... | 32 |
| A. | Stakeholder Inquiries | 32 |
| B. | TA-Produced Materials and the TA’s Website..... | 32 |
| C. | Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training | 33 |
| 1. | Meetings and Conferences..... | 33 |
| 2. | Licensee Outreach Campaigns..... | 33 |
| VI. | FINANCIAL..... | 34 |
| A. | External Audit..... | 34 |
| B. | Transition Administrator..... | 34 |
| 1. | Fees, Expenses, and Staffing | 34 |
| 2. | Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees..... | 35 |

List of Appendices

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| APPENDIX 1 | Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007 |
| APPENDIX 2 | Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120, the Expansion Band, NPSPAC Channels, and the Southeast ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, and Maps of Channels 1-120 Clearing and Southeast ESMR Band Progress, as of December 31, 2007 |
| APPENDIX 3 | Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007 |
| APPENDIX 4 | Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2007 |
| APPENDIX 5 | Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2007 |
| APPENDIX 6 | Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007 |
| APPENDIX 7 | Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007 |
| APPENDIX 8 | Summary of FRAs and PFAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007 |
| APPENDIX 9 | Stakeholder Outreach Activities: Meeting and Conference Attendance by TA Representatives for Quarter Ended December 31, 2007 and Quarter Ending March 31, 2008 |
| APPENDIX 10 | 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC Fees and Expenses through December 31, 2007 |

OVERVIEW

The 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (“TA”) provides its Quarterly Progress Report to the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) regarding the progress of the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band for the quarter ended December 31, 2007. Pursuant to the FCC’s *Reconfiguration Orders*,¹ the TA, as the manager of the reconfiguration effort, is required to report on a quarterly basis the progress of band reconfiguration.²

The band reconfiguration program generally consists of two broad stages of activity: the clearing of 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz (Channels 1-120) and the relocation of Public Safety’s NPSPAC channel users to this vacated spectrum. At the beginning of the program, the FCC’s *Report and Order* envisioned two phases of reconfiguration for each of these stages – the negotiation phase that results in a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (“FRA”) between the licensee and Sprint Nextel and the implementation phase that consists of the actual reconfiguration of the radio systems.

Stage 1: Clearing of Channels 1-120

Stage 1, the reconfiguration of 800 MHz systems that were previously operating on Channels 1-120, is now largely complete in non-border-affected areas, clearing the way for relocation of NPSPAC licensees. As of December 31, 2007, 97 percent of the 931 Stage 1 licensees not affected by border areas have successfully negotiated TA-approved FRAs. In addition, Sprint Nextel reported that 92 percent of Stage 1 non-border area FRAs, accounting for 62 percent of all Stage 1 call signs, have completed implementation and been cleared from Channels 1-120 as of December 31, 2007. On November 1, 2007, the FCC released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking seeking comment on a proposed band plan for the U.S.-Canada border area and comments were filed in December 2007.³ The TA is making plans assuming that it will start issuing replacement frequencies to licensees in the Canadian border area later this year.

¹ *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band*, Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order, and Order, 19 FCC Rcd 14969 (2004) (“*Report and Order*”); as amended by *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Sept. 10, 2005); *Second Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 19651 (2004); “Commission Seeks Comment on *Ex Parte* Presentations and Extends Certain Deadlines Regarding the 800 MHz Public Safety Interference Proceeding,” *Public Notice*, 19 FCC Rcd 21492 (2004); *Third Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 21818 (2004); *Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration*, 19 FCC Rcd 25120 (2004) (“*Supplemental Order*”); *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Jan. 19, 2005); *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 20 FCC Rcd 16015 (2005) (“*Memorandum Opinion and Order*”); *Second Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 22 FCC Rcd 10467 (2007); *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. July 26, 2007); *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 22 FCC Rcd 17209 (2007) (“*Third Memorandum Opinion and Order*”); “FCC Announces Supplemental Procedures and Provides Guidance for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding,” *Public Notice*, 22 FCC Rcd 17227 (rel. Sept. 12, 2007) (“*September 12, 2007 Public Notice*”); “Federal Communications Commission Provides Guidance for Submission of Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding,” *Public Notice*, 23 FCC Rcd 664 (rel. Jan. 17, 2008) (“*January 17, 2008 Public Notice*”) (collectively “*Reconfiguration Orders*”).

² 47 C.F.R. § 90.676(b)(3).

³ *Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, New 800 MHz Band Plan for U.S.-Canada Border Region*, Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 22 FCC Rcd 19266 (2007) (“*Canadian border NPRM*”).

Stage 2: NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band Reconfiguration

Stage 2 of the program, the relocation of Public Safety licensees operating on NPSPAC and Expansion Band frequencies, has seen significant progress during the fourth quarter of 2007. There are now more Stage 2 licensees engaged in implementation than in planning or negotiations, and the TA's focus is increasingly shifting from negotiations to implementation.

As of December 31, 2007, 100 Stage 2 licensees had completed the transition to their new channels, including 29 NPSPAC licensees and 71 Expansion Band-only licensees.⁴ In addition, 122 Stage 2 licensees had arranged a channel clearing schedule with Sprint Nextel as of January 25, 2008. While the TA expects that many more licensees will be able to be operational on their new frequencies prior to the June 26, 2008 program completion date, the TA also anticipates, based on information gathered from licensees, that a significant number, and possibly a majority, of NPSPAC licensees will not have completed their reconfigurations by that date. Consequently, numerous licensees are expected to file requests for waiver of the June 26, 2008 deadline. **The FCC has encouraged licensees filing such requests to utilize the Request for Waiver templates available on the TA's website⁵ and to request only such time as is needed to complete reconfiguration.**

The number of non-border area licensees in the planning process declined during the fourth quarter as licensees completed their planning activities and initiated negotiations regarding their reconfiguration costs, which is a further indicator of progress in the program. The FCC's *September 12, 2007 Public Notice* established deadlines for NPSPAC licensees to complete planning and submit a cost estimate to Sprint Nextel. The planning deadlines for Waves 1-3 licensees fell during the fourth quarter – October 15, 2007 for Wave 1, November 15, 2007 for Wave 2, and December 15, 2007 for Wave 3. Licensees requiring additional time to complete planning must request an extension from the FCC's Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau ("PSHSB"). 74 Wave 1 licensees, 40 Wave 2 licensees, 106 Wave 3 licensees, and 5 Wave 4 licensees submitted extension requests to the FCC during the fourth quarter. Many of the licensees with long planning cycles have large and complex systems with extensive interoperability with other systems. The FCC has encouraged licensees to consider expediting the reconfiguration implementation process through early replacement or retuning of equipment under the Subscriber Equipment Deployment ("SED") program. In addition, some licensees whose extension requests were granted were not able to complete planning by the extended deadline and have submitted additional extension requests to the PSHSB.

The TA also continues to see progress in FRA negotiations, which have generally proceeded smoothly under the new timelines for FRA negotiations and mediation set forth in the FCC's *September 12, 2007 Public Notice*. During the fourth quarter, parties completed negotiations on 81 additional Stage 2 FRAs, raising the total completed by more than 22 percent

⁴ The 71 Expansion Band licensees includes some Public Safety licensees who reconfigured Expansion Band frequencies in conjunction with the reconfiguration of their Channels 1-120 frequencies.

⁵ The template Request for Waiver, which includes a Waiver Request Information Form, is available on the TA's website at http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/rebanding_deadline_extension_process.asp.

to 488 (55 percent of non-border area Stage 2 FRAs) as of December 31, 2007. For the most part, licensees and Sprint Nextel are completing FRA negotiations within the 60-day timeframe prescribed by the FCC, with very few mediations referred to the FCC.

The TA's focus is turning increasingly to facilitating and monitoring implementation. The TA has continued to conduct regional Implementation Planning Sessions ("IPS" or "sessions") with NPSPAC licensees, their vendors, consultants, and Sprint Nextel to develop consolidated implementation schedules. Through the end of 2007, the TA had conducted 17 such sessions in 15 NPSPAC Regions that were attended by approximately 211 licensees operating more than 397,000 radios. These sessions have been successful in building a common view among all stakeholders of licensee interdependencies and scheduling needs, and they have enabled parties to reach an understanding on the timing of clearing and surrendering frequencies for those licensees that are ready to transition to the new channels. The TA will continue to hold sessions in other regions as more licensees complete their agreements. In addition, during implementation the TA anticipates playing an ongoing coordination role in those areas that do not have a lead agency to coordinate interdependent reconfiguration activities across multiple licensees. The TA will also continue to monitor and report the progress of reconfiguration implementation by licensees in each NPSPAC region on a regular basis. The TA has held briefings with licensees, Public Safety organizations, Sprint Nextel, and vendors regarding the progress of the IPS, and the follow-up to the IPS that is planned by the TA. The TA expects to be able to provide further information on reconfiguration implementation schedules in its next quarterly progress report.

On January 17, 2008, the FCC released a Public Notice establishing procedures and providing guidance to licensees regarding submission of requests for waiver of the June 26, 2008 deadline for completion of 800 MHz rebanding. The FCC's Public Notice stated that any "non-border licensee that will require additional time past June 26, 2008 to complete rebanding must request a waiver of the deadline" from the PSHSB.⁶ Licensees submitting a request for waiver must be able to demonstrate that they have worked diligently and in good faith to complete rebanding expeditiously, and that the additional time requested is no more than reasonably necessary to complete the rebanding process. Licensees are expected to set forth their timetables for completing reconfiguration implementation. The FCC established deadlines, staggered by wave, for licensees to file requests for waiver. Licensees in Waves 1 and 2 must file by March 17, 2008 and Wave 3 licensees and non-border Wave 4 licensees must file by April 15, 2008. If a licensee has not executed an FRA with Sprint Nextel by the applicable filing deadline, it should file an interim extension request. The TA has developed a template Request for Waiver, which includes a Waiver Request Information Form, for licensees to use to provide this information.⁷ The FCC recommends that licensees use this template in preparing their requests.

In closing, measurable progress continues to be made in the rebanding program and most activities are proceeding smoothly, even though many licensees may require more time beyond June 26, 2008.

⁶ See *January 17, 2008 Public Notice* at 1.

⁷ The template is available at http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/rebanding_deadline_extension_process.asp.

I. PROGRAM-WIDE MATTERS AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report discusses recent developments in the reconfiguration program, identifies program-wide issues, and describes specific actions that the FCC and the TA have taken to address issues identified to date.

A. Recent Developments Regarding the Schedule

The 800 MHz band reconfiguration program commenced on June 27, 2005. As of December 31, 2007, the voluntary and mandatory negotiation periods, as described in the TA's Regional Prioritization Plan ("RPP"), had concluded for all waves and stages, except for border area licensees.

1. Deadlines for Completion of Planning

The FCC's *September 12, 2007 Public Notice* established deadlines for NPSPAC (Stage 2) licensees to complete planning and submit a cost estimate to Sprint Nextel.⁸ Planning deadlines were staggered by wave, with Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees to complete planning by October 15, 2007, Wave 2 by November 15, 2007, and Wave 3 by December 15, 2007. Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees and those licensees that have not yet begun planning are given 90 to 110 days from the date of TA approval of their Planning Funding Agreement ("PFA") to complete planning, depending upon the size of their system. Licensees requiring additional time to complete planning must request an extension from the FCC's PSHSB. 74 Wave 1 licensees, 40 Wave 2 licensees, 106 Wave 3 licensees, and 5 Wave 4 licensees submitted extension requests to the FCC during the fourth quarter. Some licensees whose extension requests were granted by PSHSB were not able to complete planning by the extended deadline and have submitted additional extension requests to the PSHSB. A list of PSHSB grants of extension requests appears on the FCC's website at <http://www.fcc.gov/pshs/docs/public-safety-spectrum/800mhz-rebanding-extensions.pdf>.

2. Border Area Licensees

Additional schedule changes were made for licensees that hold call signs with locations in the international border areas defined by the FCC.⁹ On December 31, 2007, the FCC issued a Public Notice modifying the schedule for border area non-NPSPAC (Stage 1) and NPSPAC (Stage 2) licensees in Wave 4.¹⁰ The FCC jointly extended the mandatory negotiation periods

⁸ See *September 12, 2007 Public Notice*.

⁹ Locations within 110 km (68.4 miles) of the U.S./Mexico border or within 140 km (87 miles) of the U.S./Canada border are within the FCC-defined border area.

¹⁰ See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Extends 800 MHz Rebanding Negotiation Periods for Wave 4 Border Area NPSPAC and Non-NPSPAC Licensees," *Public Notice*, WT Docket No. 02-55, DA 07-5110 (rel. Dec. 31, 2007) ("*Wave 4 Extension Public Notice*"). In a October 29, 2007 Public Notice, the FCC had extended the mandatory negotiation period until January 31, 2008 and postponed the start of the mediation period until February 1, 2008 for Wave 4, Stage 2 border area licensees. See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Announces Extension of Negotiation Period between Sprint Nextel and Border Area NPSPAC Licensees in Wave 4, Stage 2 of 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration," *Public Notice*, 22 FCC Rcd 18946 (2007).

until April 1, 2008 and postponed the start of the mediation period until April 2, 2008 for such licensees. The FCC also extended the filing freeze on new applications in Wave 4 border areas until June 13, 2008. There are 201 licensees in Wave 4, Stage 1 and 199 licensees in Wave 4, Stage 2 that hold call signs with sites located in, or adjacent to, FCC-defined border areas with Mexico and Canada that are affected by this extension. The development of border area band plans and frequency planning thus remains a necessary milestone for successful completion of the reconfiguration program.

During the extended negotiation periods, Wave 4 licensees affected by the border areas are not required to engage in planning or negotiation activities prior to receiving proposed replacement frequencies from the TA, although the FCC encourages them to engage in such activities to the extent that they are not frequency-dependent and would not result in unnecessary duplication of costs.¹¹ If planning funding is required, licensees will need to submit a Request for Planning Funding (“RFPF”) to the TA and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel.¹² Licensees may submit an RFPF prior to receiving replacement frequencies.

3. Puerto Rico

As directed by the FCC’s *Second Memorandum Opinion and Order*, the TA submitted an alternative band plan and negotiation timetable for Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands (“USVI”) on October 19, 2007. The alternative band plan followed the standard United States 800 MHz reconfiguration band plan (“U.S. Band Plan”) to the extent feasible in order to minimize disruption to licensees, particularly those that have already begun reconfiguration. The TA recommended extending the Expansion Band in the U.S. Band Plan by 0.5 MHz through elimination of the lower 0.5 MHz of the Guard Band for reconfiguration of the 800 MHz Band. Since the USVI is in the same EA as Puerto Rico and has the same EA licensees relocating to the ESMR Band, the TA also recommended that the USVI be served by the same proposed band plan. The proposed negotiation timetable includes a 90-day mandatory negotiation period after which any licensee that has not successfully negotiated an FRA would enter TA-sponsored mediation. The FCC will issue a Public Notice seeking comment on the TA’s recommended band plan.

B. Canadian Border Area Band Plan

On November 1, 2007, the FCC released for public comment a proposed band plan for the eight U.S.-Canada border regions, in the wake of an agreement reached in July 2007 with the Government of Canada that will enable rebanding in the border area to proceed.¹³ Comments were filed with the FCC on December 3, 2007 and reply comments were filed on December 18,

¹¹ See *Wave 4 Extension Public Notice*.

¹² For Wave 4, Stage 1 and 2 licensees who did not receive Frequency Proposal Reports (“FPRs”) by August 1, 2006, RFPF submissions (if necessary) will be due 45 days from the date of an FPR mailing to the licensee. The RFPF deadline will be noted in the FPR cover letter.

¹³ See *Canadian border NPRM*.

2007. The anticipated finalization of the proposed Canadian border area band plan will be a significant milestone in the rebanding program.

C. Sprint Nextel's Monthly Reports

The FCC's *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order* required Sprint Nextel to complete relocation of all non-Sprint Nextel, non-SouthernLINC Channels 1-120 incumbent licensees by December 26, 2007, with certain exceptions.¹⁴ The FCC required Sprint Nextel to file monthly reports with the FCC and the TA on its clearing of Channels 1-120 spectrum.¹⁵ Sprint Nextel filed reports on October 1, 2007, November 1, 2007, December 3, 2007, January 2, 2008, and February 1, 2008. In the January 2, 2008 report, Sprint Nextel reported that it has cleared all non-Sprint Nextel, non-SouthernLINC incumbent licensees from Channels 1-120 in 39 NPSPAC regions (an increase of four regions since October 1, 2007) and that 95 percent of Stage 1 licensees have been retuned.¹⁶ Sprint Nextel reported that of the 17 remaining NPSPAC regions or U.S. Territories, 14 of these regions have three or fewer Channels 1-120 licensees that remain to be retuned. In Stage 1, Sprint Nextel reported that 57 non-border licensees have not completed their retunes. For Stage 2, Sprint Nextel provided a list of 88 NPSPAC licensees (up from 40 licensees as of October 1, 2007) that have agreed upon a date with Sprint Nextel by which Sprint Nextel will clear Channels 1-120.

D. 30-Month Benchmark

The *Report and Order* established a 30-month benchmark for the 800 MHz band reconfiguration process and all systems must have commenced reconfiguration by this date.¹⁷ Under the rebanding schedule, this 30-month date fell on December 26, 2007. The FCC provided additional guidance regarding the 30-month benchmark in the *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order*. Stage 2 licensees in compliance as of December 26, 2007 with the timelines set forth in the *September 12, 2007 Public Notice* for non-border area NPSPAC licensees were deemed to have met the 30-month benchmark. The 30-month benchmark does not apply to Wave 4 licensees that have not received frequency assignments because their systems are in border regions affected by ongoing negotiations with Canada and Mexico.¹⁸

¹⁴ See *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order* at ¶¶20-21. Excepted from this requirement are Wave 4, Stage 1 border area licensees, Puerto Rico licensees, and Stage 1 licensees who also have NPSPAC facilities that have planned to relocate both their Channels 1-120 and NPSPAC facilities in Stage 2.

¹⁵ See *id.* at ¶¶29-31. The reports must include the following information: (1) the number of licensees that have been cleared from Channels 1-120 and the number that remain to be cleared; (2) the number of Channels 1-120 frequencies being used by Sprint Nextel in its own network and the number it has vacated; and (3) information regarding requests by NPSPAC licensees for Sprint Nextel to vacate Channels 1-120 frequencies.

¹⁶ See Sprint Nextel, "Sprint Nextel's Status Report on 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration," WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Jan. 2, 2008).

¹⁷ See *Report and Order* at ¶¶28, 214, 347; see also 47 C.F.R. 90.677(a).

¹⁸ See *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order* at ¶33.

In the *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order*, the FCC directed the TA to submit a report to the PSHSB regarding whether the 30-month benchmark has been met.¹⁹ The TA's report, which was submitted on January 15, 2008, certified (1) whether all Stage 1 (Channel 1-120) incumbent licensees in the non-border areas had met the 30-month benchmark and whether Stage 2 licensees that had received frequency assignments from the TA as of September 12, 2007 had complied with the timelines set forth in the *September 12, 2007 Public Notice*.²⁰ For Stage 1, the vast majority of non-border licensees have entered into FRAs and have completed, or substantially completed, reconfiguration implementation. The TA found 24 licensees who did not have signed FRAs as of December 26, 2007, indicating that they had not commenced reconfiguration of their systems. For Stage 2, the TA found that 86 Wave 1, 60 Wave 2, 115 Wave 3, and 21 Wave 4 licensees did not complete planning and submit a cost estimate in accordance with the dates set forth in the *September 12, 2007 Public Notice*, including those licensees whose initial deadlines for submitting cost estimates are after December 26, 2007.

E. Channel Clearing Request Process

To ensure that the clearing of spectrum by Sprint Nextel to facilitate NPSPAC relocation occurs in a timely manner, the FCC provided additional guidance in the *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order* about this clearing process. The FCC stated, that "in any case in which a NPSPAC licensee requests access to spectrum in the new NPSPAC band because it requires the spectrum for testing purposes or to commence operations, Sprint must clear the necessary channels within 90 days of the request. For any request made on or after January 1, 2008, Sprint must clear the necessary spectrum within 60 days of the request."²¹ In addition, the FCC's *September 12, 2007 Public Notice* provided that Sprint Nextel shall respond to channel clearing requests within 15 working days with a schedule for making the new NPSPAC channels available to the licensee.²²

To aid in the Channel Clearing Request process, the TA developed a Channel Clearing Request Form for use by licensees.²³ The use of the form is optional and left to the discretion of the licensee. As licensees complete or near completion of FRA negotiations, the TA conducts regional Implementation Planning Sessions, in which licensees present their implementation schedules and channel clearing needs. Licensees that attend a regional Implementation Planning Session and can provide implementation schedule dates do not need to submit this Form. The TA also contacts those licensees who did not attend the session in their region, to obtain their channel clearing needs and provide them to Sprint Nextel; thus, those licensees also do not need to submit this Form.

¹⁹ See *id.* at ¶34.

²⁰ See Letter from B. Haan, 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC, to D. Furth, Federal Communications Commission, "30-Month Benchmark Report," WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed Jan. 15, 2008) at 1.

²¹ *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order* at ¶23.

²² See *September 12, 2007 Public Notice* at 5.

²³ The Channel Clearing Request Form and a Fact Sheet are available on the TA's website at http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/channel_clearing_process.asp

F. Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline

On January 17, 2008, the FCC released a Public Notice establishing procedures and providing guidance to 800 MHz licensees regarding submission of requests for waiver of the June 26, 2008 deadline for completion of 800 MHz rebanding. The FCC's Public Notice stated that any "800 MHz non-border licensee that will require additional time past June 26, 2008 to complete rebanding must request a waiver of the deadline" from the PSHSB.²⁴

Licensees submitting a request for waiver must be able to demonstrate that they have worked diligently and in good faith to complete rebanding expeditiously, and that the additional time requested is no more than reasonably necessary to complete the rebanding process. The FCC recommends that licensees address several factors in their requests – such as system size and complexity, interoperability, and steps already taken towards completing reconfiguration – as well as provide a proposed timetable that includes the steps necessary for completing rebanding and the dates on which they will be completed.

The TA has developed a template Request for Waiver, which includes a Waiver Request Information Form, for licensees to use to provide this information.²⁵ **The FCC recommends that licensees use this template in preparing their requests and request only such time as is needed to complete reconfiguration.**

In the Public Notice, the FCC established deadlines, staggered by wave, for licensees to file requests for waiver. Licensees in Waves 1 and 2 must file by March 17, 2008 and Wave 3 licensees and non-border Wave 4 licensees must file by April 15, 2008. If a licensee has not executed an FRA with Sprint Nextel by the applicable filing deadline, it should file an interim extension request.

²⁴ See January 17, 2008 Public Notice at 1.

²⁵ The template is available on the TA's website at http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/rebanding_deadline_extension_process.asp.

II. STAGE 1: CHANNELS 1-120

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report summarizes the status of negotiations and reconfiguration implementation for Stage 1 licensees as of the quarter ended September 30, 2007. Stage 1 licensees are primarily commercial, conventional systems that must be cleared from Channels 1-120 before Public Safety systems operating on NPSPAC channels (Stage 2) can be reconfigured. Significant progress has been made to date in the negotiation of FRAs for Stage 1 licensees as well as in the clearing of Channels 1-120.

A. Planning Funding

Many Stage 1 licensees included planning costs (if any) in their FRA, but some Stage 1 licensees negotiated Planning Funding Agreements with Sprint Nextel. As of December 31, 2007, 59 PFAs, with a total contract value of \$9.8 million, were submitted to the TA for Stage 1 licensees.

B. Negotiations

The negotiation of Stage 1 FRAs is virtually completed with the exception of border area licensees. As shown in the table below, as of December 31, 2007, 905 FRAs (97 percent) have been approved by the TA out of the 931 FRAs anticipated for Stage 1 licensees in all waves.²⁶ The contract value of the FRAs submitted to the TA totals \$66.9 million. As of December 31, 2007, the remaining FRAs to be negotiated in Stage 1 were in mediation, the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC, or for licensees affected by the international border areas that did not enter into mediation and were deferred pending the availability of revised border area band plans. The table below illustrates the percentage of approved Stage 1 FRAs and provides a summary of FRA milestones statistics by wave.

²⁶ The estimate of total FRAs does not include FRAs for licensees affected by the international border areas where revised border area band plans are still being developed.

Table 1: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120 as of December 31, 2007 (milestones achieved by number of FRAs)²⁷

| Wave | 1-120 Anticipated FRAs** | FRA Negotiations and Approval | | | Reconfiguration Implementation | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | FRAs Submitted to TA | FRAs Approved by TA | % of Anticipated FRAs Approved by TA | # of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed | % of Anticipated FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed | Completion Certifications Submitted to TA | Completion Certifications Verified by TA | % of Anticipated FRAs with Completion Certifications Verified by TA |
| Wave 1 | 356 | 353 | 353 | 99% | 343 | 96% | 262 | 260 | 73% |
| Wave 2 | 206 | 206 | 206 | 100% | 203 | 99% | 166 | 164 | 80% |
| Wave 3 | 247 | 240 | 240 | 97% | 211 | 86% | 153 | 152 | 62% |
| Wave 4 | 122 | 106 | 106 | 87% | 96 | 79% | 51 | 51 | 42% |
| Total | 931 | 905 | 905 | 97% | 853 | 92% | 632 | 627 | 67% |

* This table does not include any estimate of deals that will include call signs in the FCC-defined border areas. The number of FRAs anticipated for Wave 4 does not include deals affected by the border areas for which mediation was deferred.

A summary of site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call sign milestone statistics is presented in Appendix 2. Appendices 1 and 2 provide information in summary form about reconfiguration status for Channels 1-120 licensees as of December 31, 2007.

In all of Stage 1, there were a total of 15 mediation dockets (or “cases”) pending as of December 31, 2007 out of the 487 mediation dockets opened for Stage 1 licensees. This includes two mediation dockets in Wave 1, five in Wave 3, and eight in Wave 4.²⁸ A total of 11 Stage 1 mediation dockets were resolved during the fourth quarter. The pending mediation dockets fall into one of the following categories: (1) the mediation resulted in a PFA with an FRA still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFA; (2) the parties reached agreement, but had not yet executed an FRA; or (3) the mediation, which had previously been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review, was either pending, being appealed, or in the process of being resolved by the parties. As of December 31, 2007, only 3.5 percent of Stage 1 mediations had been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review, many of which were settled by the parties prior to a decision by the FCC.

Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees with systems located in, or affected by, the international border areas with Mexico and Canada have not yet received replacement frequency proposals from the

²⁷ Sprint Nextel is the data source for columns 2 and 6. The total number of FRAs can change based on how Sprint Nextel structures various agreements with licensees. Effective in this report, all FRAs covering the reconfiguration of Economic Area (“EA”) licenses are reported in Stage 1 data; therefore the Stage 2 reporting includes only Public Safety licensees with either NPSPAC frequencies or Expansion Band frequencies not included as part of a Stage 1 FRA. The TA has received and approved seven FRAs that have included EA licenses.

²⁸ The remaining mediation dockets in Wave 4 include several Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees whose Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests were granted in June 2006, resulting in the licensee’s negotiations and reconfiguration implementation being moved to Wave 4, Stage 1.

TA pending the availability of revised border area band plans. As noted in Section I.A.2. of this report, the FCC issued a Public Notice on December 31, 2007, which modified for a fifth time the schedule for such licensees.²⁹ There are 201 potential Stage 1 licensees in Wave 4, Stage 1 that hold call signs with sites located in, or adjacent to, FCC-defined border areas that are affected by this extension.³⁰

C. Reconfiguration Implementation Progress

Parties have made good progress in physically clearing Channels 1-120, which is a necessary prerequisite for Public Safety to begin its reconfiguration of NPSPAC channels. The TA generally measures the status of Stage 1 reconfiguration implementation progress in two ways: (1) clearing as a percentage of Stage 1 *FRAs* that Sprint Nextel has entered into with Channel 1-120 licensees; and (2) the number and percentage of Stage 1 *call signs* that Sprint Nextel reports as being cleared by licensees.

As shown in Table 1 above, as of December 31, 2007, Sprint Nextel is reporting that physical reconfiguration is complete for 92 percent of Channels 1-120 FRAs (an increase from 89 percent as of September 30, 2007).

As of December 31, 2007, approximately 61.9 percent (up from 61.6 percent as of September 30, 2007) of the Stage 1 site-specific call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees. The difference between the numbers by percentages of call signs compared to the numbers by percentages of FRAs is because the Wave 4 FRA statistics do not include agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include call signs in border areas.³¹ Progress during the fourth quarter included two additional NPSPAC regions – Missouri (Region 24) and Oregon (Region 35) – reaching 100 percent reporting clear.

Remaining activity in Stage 1 primarily includes:

- Licensees in the Southeastern U.S., predominantly in Florida, who are actively reconfiguring under an FRA and who need to complete implementation;
- 14 Public Safety licensees, mostly in Waves 3 and 4 (in areas unaffected by international border issues), who require additional time to complete planning pursuant to PFAs;

²⁹ *Wave 4 Extension Public Notice.*

³⁰ This includes approximately 80 licensees with systems entirely within a border area; 48 licensees with systems both inside and adjacent to a border area; and 73 licensees with systems adjacent to border areas and close enough to be affected.


³¹ There are also a small number of call signs in Wave 1 and 2 that are in the FCC-defined border areas that are not included in FRA data.

- 12 Public Safety agencies operating on both Channels 1-120 and NPSPAC channels are consolidating all channels into single reconfigurations in Stage 2 to minimize system disruption;³²
- Clearing Economic Area (“EA”) and site-specific licenses in Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands related to an EA licensee electing to move to the ESMR band once the final band plan for Puerto Rico is determined by the FCC;
- Clearing EA licensees in Guam related to an election to move to the ESMR band (there are no NPSPAC licensees in Guam); and
- Licensees that hold call signs with sites located in, or affected by, international border areas with Mexico and Canada.

Viewed from a geographic perspective, Map 1 below shows the progress of clearing Channels 1-120 to allow relocation of the NPSPAC band, with significant progress in all areas of the country outside the areas affected by international borders.

³² This number is subject to change as Public Safety agencies with Channels 1-120 agreements still in negotiation may request a consolidation of their Stage 1 and 2 implementations.

Map 1: Channels 1-120 Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSPAC Region as of December 31, 2007³³



Data from reconfigurations to date indicate that it is taking Channels 1-120 licensees an average of approximately 128 calendar days to get from approval by the TA of their FRA to reporting clearing of their Channels 1-120 frequencies.³⁴ Given the number of regions with 100 percent of FRAs approved by the TA (41 regions as of December 31, 2007) and the number of regions with more than 90 percent of FRAs approved (two regions as of December 31, 2007),³⁵ the TA anticipates that Channels 1-120 physical clearing in Waves 1-3 will be largely complete

³³ The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Some regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available. Locations noted on the map are based upon ULS data regarding Channels 1-120 licensing as of November 2004.

³⁴ During that time period, FCC applications are being filed and granted to add replacement channels to the licenses of the incumbent licensee, Sprint Nextel is clearing the replacement channels to which the licensee is moving, and the licensee is implementing its reconfiguration plan in accordance with its FRA.

³⁵ Some of the site-specific Channels 1-120 call signs not under FRA in certain NPSPAC Regions with less than 100 percent under FRA are pending availability of revised border area band plans.

in time for NPSPAC reconfiguration implementations to proceed when ready. The TA anticipates that similar progress will be made in Wave 4 as FRAs are completed.

There have been some disputes arising out of the implementation of Stage 1 FRAs that have resulted in the parties turning to the mediation process for assistance. The TA opened a total of 18 mediations to resolve disputes arising out of the implementation of FRAs involving Stage 1 licensees, 16 of which had been resolved as of December 31, 2007.

III. STAGE 2: NPSPAC AND PUBLIC SAFETY EXPANSION BAND

This section provides a summary of progress during the fourth quarter of 2007 for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) licensees. Planning, negotiations, and reconfiguration implementation for Stage 2 reconfigurations are usually more challenging and time consuming than Stage 1 reconfigurations because systems and FRAs for Stage 2 licensees are generally larger and more complex. Unlike Stage 1, in which approximately half of all licensees in each wave entered mediation, approximately 96 percent of all Stage 2 licensees did not execute PFAs and/or FRAs with Sprint Nextel during the allotted negotiation periods and entered mediation to complete the negotiation phase.

A. Planning and Planning Funding

Although most Channels 1-120 licensees did not require planning funding, nearly half of NPSPAC licensees have sought such funding and have negotiated Planning Funding Agreements. The additional steps of negotiating a PFA with Sprint Nextel and completing the planning process *prior* to negotiating an FRA have significantly extended the reconfiguration process for many NPSPAC licensees and on average have consumed approximately ten months – four months to negotiate the PFA and six months to complete the planning and prepare a reconfiguration cost estimate. A large number of Stage 2 licensees in mediation entered into PFAs, and the TA has provided flexibility in the mediation process to complete planning so long as progress continues to be made.

As shown in the table below, as of December 31, 2007, 425 PFAs for Stage 2 licensees had been submitted to the TA. The contract value of the submitted PFAs totals \$73.3 million.

Table 2: Number and Dollar Value of Stage 2 PFAs, as of December 31, 2007

| Wave | PFAs Submitted to TA | | PFAs Approved by TA | |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | # | Dollar Value | # | Dollar Value |
| Wave 1 | 144 | \$30,823,350 | 144 | \$30,823,350 |
| Wave 2 | 110 | \$13,593,384 | 110 | \$13,593,384 |
| Wave 3 | 145 | \$23,732,650 | 145 | \$23,732,650 |
| Wave 4 | 26 | \$5,185,320 | 26 | \$5,185,320 |
| Total | 425 | \$73,334,704 | 425 | \$73,334,704 |

B. Negotiations

Progress continues to be made in the negotiation of FRAs for Stage 2 licensees. During the fourth quarter of 2007, parties completed negotiations on 81 additional Stage 2 FRAs. As shown in the table below, as of December 31, 2007, 488 FRAs (55 percent) have been submitted to the TA out of the 892 FRAs anticipated for Stage 2 licensees in all waves.³⁶ The contract value of these FRAs, including amendments, totals \$171.0 million.

³⁶ This total includes reconfiguration of Public Safety Expansion Band licensees. This total does not include FRAs for licensees located in, or affected by, the border areas that have not yet received replacement frequency proposals.

Table 3: Status of Reconfiguration for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) Licensees as of December 31, 2007 (milestones achieved by number of FRAs)³⁷

| Wave | Stage 2 Anticipated FRAs** | FRA Negotiations and Approval | | | Reconfiguration Implementation | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|
| | | FRAs Submitted to TA | FRAs Approved by TA | % of Anticipated FRAs Approved by TA | # of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed | % of Anticipated FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed | Completion Certifications Submitted to TA | Completion Certifications Verified by TA | % of Anticipated FRAs with Completion Certifications Verified by TA |
| Wave 1 | 362 | 242 | 236 | 65% | 39 | 11% | 12 | 12 | 3% |
| Wave 2 | 233 | 145 | 142 | 61% | 33 | 14% | 8 | 8 | 3% |
| Wave 3 | 236 | 80 | 76 | 32% | 6 | 3% | 2 | 2 | 1% |
| Wave 4 | 61 | 21 | 18 | 30% | 2 | 3% | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 892 | 488 | 472 | 53% | 80 | 9% | 22 | 22 | 2% |

* This table does not include any estimate of deals that will include call signs in the FCC-defined border areas. The number of FRAs anticipated for Wave 4 does not include deals affected by the border areas for which mediation was deferred.

A summary of Stage 2 call sign milestone statistics is presented in the table below.³⁸

³⁷ Sprint Nextel is the data source for the estimated number of anticipated FRAs in column 2. The figures have not been verified by the TA. Any changes to the number of anticipated FRAs from previous Quarterly Progress Reports are the result of how Sprint Nextel structures deals with licensees (*i.e.*, deals cancelled or consolidated). For Wave 3, Stage 2, the number of expected FRAs will increase as additional FRAs are reached with Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Band licensees who were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 3, Stage 2 and those deals are added to the count. Given that some FRAs noted in the table are for licensees with mobile-only systems, there will be a difference between the number of FRAs and the number of FRAs reporting frequencies cleared.

³⁸ The differences between the numbers by percentages of call signs compared to the numbers by percentages of FRAs largely reflect the size and complexity of the systems that remain in the mediation process. Simply put, the remaining Stage 2 FRAs involve systems with a greater number of call signs compared to those for which the parties have been able to reach agreement. In addition, the FRA statistics in Table 3 do not include all deals in border areas, while the call sign statistics in Table 4 do include all call signs in border areas.

Table 4: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) as of December 31, 2007 (milestones achieved by number of call signs)

| Wave | Stage 2 Call Signs * | FRA Negotiations and Approval | | | Reconfiguration Implementation | | | | |
|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | # in FRAs Submitted to TA | # in FRAs Approved by TA | % in FRAs Approved by TA | # Reported as Cleared by Licensee | % Reported as Cleared by Licensee | Completion Certifications Submitted to TA | Completion Certifications Verified by TA | % with Completion Certifications Verified by TA |
| Wave 1 | 2180 | 1192 | 886 | 41% | 68 | 3% | 21 | 21 | 1% |
| Wave 2 | 844 | 293 | 289 | 34% | 38 | 5% | 8 | 6 | 0% |
| Wave 3 | 1094 | 159 | 147 | 13% | 19 | 2% | 5 | 5 | 0% |
| Wave 4 | 1804 | 140 | 37 | 2% | 9 | 0.5% | 5 | 5 | 0% |
| Total | 5922 | 1784 | 1359 | 23% | 134 | 2% | 39 | 37 | 2% |

* Includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas.

Appendices 2 and 3 provide information in summary form about the status of negotiations for Stage 2 licensees as of December 31, 2007.

The mediation process has proven successful in aiding licensees and Sprint Nextel in reaching agreements. As of December 31, 2007, only 4.6 percent of Stage 2 mediations had been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review, many of which were settled by the parties prior to a decision by the FCC.

1. Wave 1, Stage 2

Wave 1, Stage 2 is the largest of the NPSPAC channel reconfiguration waves, with 362 FRAs expected to be negotiated between Sprint Nextel and Public Safety agencies. As shown in Table 3, as of December 31, 2007, approximately 65 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 1, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 1, Stage 2 mediation period began on November 1, 2006. As of December 31, 2007, of the 331 mediation dockets opened for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees, 230 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 193 resolved as of September 30, 2007). The parties in 81 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; 10 of these had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. In addition, the parties in three mediation dockets had reached agreement on terms but not yet executed their FRAs. Four mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review, and eight were in the process of negotiating PFAs or FRAs or being appealed following *de novo* review by the FCC.

2. Wave 2, Stage 2

As shown in Table 3, as of December 31, 2007, approximately 61 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 2, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 2, Stage 2 mediation period began on February 1, 2007. As of December 31, 2007, of the 229 mediation dockets opened for Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees, 140 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 119 resolved as of September 30, 2007). The parties in 86 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; 15 of these had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. In addition, the parties in two mediation dockets had reached agreement on terms but not yet executed their FRAs. One mediation docket was in the process of negotiating an FRA following *de novo* review by the FCC.

3. Wave 3, Stage 2

Besides NPSPAC licensees, Wave 3, Stage 2 includes certain licensees with Southeast ESMR Band frequencies that were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 at the licensee's request.³⁹ As shown in Table 3, as of December 31, 2007, approximately 32 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 3, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 3, Stage 2 mediation period began on May 1, 2007. As of December 31, 2007, of the 261 mediation dockets opened for Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees, 102 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 80 resolved as of September 30, 2007).⁴⁰ The parties in 147 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; seven had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. Thus, licensees in 249 mediations had entered into either a PFA or FRA with Sprint Nextel. In addition, the parties in six mediation dockets had reached agreement on terms but not yet executed their FRAs. No mediations were referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

4. Wave 4, Stage 2

As shown in Table 3, as of December 31, 2007, approximately 30 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 4, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 4, Stage 2 mediation period for non-border area licensees began on August 1, 2007. As of December 31, 2007, of the 58 mediation dockets opened for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees, 26 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 15 resolved as of September 30, 2007). The parties in 22 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; four had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. In addition, the parties in

³⁹ Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees whose Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests were granted in June 2006 had their negotiations and reconfiguration implementation deferred to Wave 3, Stage 2.

⁴⁰ The difference between the number of mediation dockets and the number of expected FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 is largely due to certain deals with Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Band licensees who were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 3, Stage 2 not being included in the number of expected FRAs. The number of expected FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 will increase as additional FRAs are reached with such licensees and those deals are added to the count.

three mediation dockets had reached agreement on terms but not yet executed their PFAs or FRAs. No mediations were referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.


Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees with systems located in, or affected by, the international border areas with Mexico and Canada have not yet received replacement frequency proposals from the TA pending the availability of revised border area band plans. As noted in Section I.A.2. of this report, the FCC issued a Public Notice on December 31, 2007, which modified the schedule for such licensees.⁴¹ There are 199 licensees in Wave 4, Stage 2 that hold call signs with sites located in, or adjacent to, FCC-defined border areas that are affected by this extension.

C. Reconfiguration Implementation

NPSPAC reconfiguration, often with complex system designs and interoperability requirements among numerous licensees in a region, will require more time and coordination than was needed for Channels 1-120 reconfiguration. While a number of NPSPAC FRAs have been approved by the TA, reconfiguration implementation is still getting underway for NPSPAC licensees. A number of NPSPAC licensees are moving forward with, and in some cases completing, their implementation activities and are helping to define an implementation framework that can serve as a model for other NPSPAC licensees. Map 2 graphically depicts a geographic perspective of NPSPAC reconfiguration progress, as of December 31, 2007, by licensed NPSPAC locations.

⁴¹ See *Wave 4 Extension Public Notice*.

Map 2: NPSPAC Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSPAC Region as of December 30, 2007⁴²




For Public Safety systems operating in the Expansion Band that do not also include NPSPAC frequencies, parties are negotiating fixed schedules for and proceeding with reconfiguration implementation. As of December 31, 2007, 73 licensees in the Expansion Band have completed reconfiguration of their frequencies, and an additional 102 licensees have an executed FRA and, to the extent they are not also NPSPAC licensees, are proceeding with reconfiguration.⁴³

Many of the Public Safety systems that have completed reconfiguration are in the Expansion Band. Map 3 below shows the progress of reconfiguration in the Expansion Band.

⁴² The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available. Locations on Special Temporary Authorization (“STA”) call signs are only shown if they are included in an approved FRA.

⁴³ Two of the 73 licensees in the Expansion Band also cleared NPSPAC frequencies as part of their reconfiguration.

Map 3: Public Safety Expansion Band Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSPAC Region as of December 31, 2007⁴⁴



Many of the licensees in the expanded ESMR band in the southeastern United States are Public Safety entities. Appendix 2 contains a map showing progress in clearing the Southeast ESMR band. Many of the Southeast ESMR band licensees requested FRA negotiation and reconfiguration implementation be done in conjunction with related NPSPAC frequencies in Stage 2.

1. Reconfiguration of Subscriber Equipment

A key component of furthering rebanding progress is the reconfiguration of subscriber equipment. In March 2007, the TA made available to licensees the Subscriber Equipment Deployment (“SED”) initiative, an option to obtain replacement subscriber equipment and related software and services in advance of completing all cost negotiations. SED is intended to

⁴⁴ Call signs for Public Safety entities with locations in the FCC defined border areas with frequencies that fall between 860 and 861 MHz are included. As the border area band plans are finalized these locations may be dropped. The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available.

enable licensees to proceed with subscriber equipment-related activities in parallel with completion of negotiations for infrastructure costs and timing.

In the fourth quarter of 2007, an additional 7 licensees submitted SED Requests covering more than 12,000 subscriber units. As of December 31, 2007, a total of 22 licensees have submitted SED Requests covering more than 70,000 subscriber units. When the parties conclude the negotiations regarding the SED Request, an agreement is submitted to the TA as a “subscriber equipment FRA.”⁴⁵ As of December 31, 2007, a total of 16 subscriber equipment FRAs, covering more than 50,000 subscriber units, have been submitted to the TA. Some of these NPSPAC licensees have made significant progress in retuning or replacing subscriber equipment and thousands of radios have already been retuned or replaced. Given the large number of licensees that are still in the planning phase, the TA believes this figure should be much higher and that many licensees are not availing themselves of the opportunity to expedite the reconfiguration of their subscriber equipment.

2. Implementation Planning Sessions

During the quarter ended December 31, 2007, the TA continued conducting IPS to identify and finalize reconfiguration implementation schedules among NPSPAC licensees in a given region of the country. These sessions facilitate joint planning between licensees and vendors at a regional level and enable licensee timeframes and interdependencies to be understood in aggregate. This is particularly important as many NPSPAC FRAs did not contain firm implementation dates. As groups of licensees within a geographical area and Sprint Nextel continue to make progress in completing planning activities and contractual negotiations, the IPS will provide a framework for the coordination among interdependent parties.

In the fourth quarter of 2007, the TA conducted IPS in Indiana (Region 14), Illinois (Region 13), Chicago – Metro (Region 54), New England (Region 19), the San Francisco area of Northern California (Region 6), Oregon (Region 35), Nebraska (Region 26), Iowa (Region 15) and Nevada (Region 27). The licensees in attendance were in different stages of reconfiguration – some with completed FRAs and others still in planning and in mediation – in order to ensure all scheduling factors were considered.

During the meetings, the TA worked with licensees to develop implementation schedules for the regions that identify key milestones and dependencies associated with physical reconfiguration activities. The key building blocks for developing these schedules were the licensees’ individual reconfiguration timelines, including the schedule for Sprint Nextel to clear replacement frequencies. These sessions provided insight into risks and dependencies unique to those specific regions.

Over the next few months, the TA will be conducting IPS for the following licensee groups and/or regions: Texas – Central (Austin Area) (Region 49); Texas (Central and Northeast) (Region 40); New Jersey (Region 28); Mississippi (Region 23); and Virginia (Region 42). In

⁴⁵ The number of subscriber units covered by any SED Request may differ from the number of subscriber units covered in the resulting FRA due to agreements reached by the parties.

some cases, multiple sessions may be held within a region to cover all licensees. Other groups will be scheduled as FRAs are negotiated and appropriate planning activities draw to a close. However, licensees are encouraged to commence implementation activities that are not dependent on having cleared replacement frequencies after their FRAs are executed and should not wait until an IPS before beginning implementation activities. In fact, many licensees can and should immediately begin subscriber equipment deployment activities under the SED program prior to execution of an FRA. When licensees are ready to begin implementation, they should indicate their readiness and contact Sprint Nextel to discuss the schedule for Sprint Nextel to clear their replacement frequencies.

A number of NPSPAC licensees with FRAs, or whose FRA negotiations are nearing completion, are also able to move forward with scheduling their reconfigurations individually and directly with Sprint Nextel. Typically, these are licensees in regions with relatively few licensees or those without complex interoperability environments. Licensees finalizing their individual schedules with Sprint Nextel to gain access to cleared 851-854 MHz channels, either directly or through Implementation Planning Sessions, will facilitate continued progress during the first quarter of 2008.

3. Implementation Disputes

There have been disputes involving Stage 2 licensees unrelated to the negotiation of FRAs that have resulted in the parties turning to the mediation process for assistance. The TA opened a total of two mediations to resolve such disputes, one of which had been resolved as of December 31, 2007.

IV. KEY RECONFIGURATION DATA

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report summarizes key reconfiguration data for the quarter ended December 31, 2007.

A. Licenses to Be Reconfigured

The table below provides the TA's analysis of the current population of call signs per wave. The primary source of this data is the FCC's Universal Licensing System ("ULS") database, with geographical augmentation by the TA to determine NPSPAC region and other reconfiguration-specific information. This data defines the population of licenses that need to be reconfigured, and is updated to reflect changes made to the ULS database.⁴⁶

Table 5: Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave⁴⁷

| Wave | Channels 1-120 | Public Safety Expansion Band | NPSPAC | Southeast ESMR Band | Total |
|----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | Number of Call Signs | | | | |
| Wave 1 | 802 | 340 | 1840 | 0 | 2982 |
| Wave 2 | 478 | 176 | 668 | 7 | 1329 |
| Wave 3⁴⁸ | 573 | 202 | 892 | 242 | 1909 |
| Wave 4⁴⁹ | 905 | 310 | 1494 | 0 | 2709 |
| TOTAL | 2758 | 1028 | 4894 | 249 | 8929 |

Assumptions

The TA has made certain assumptions regarding the population of licenses to be reconfigured. First, for spectrum planning purposes, unless notified otherwise, the TA has assumed that all Public Safety licensees with regular licenses in the Expansion Band granted prior to the end of the Stage 1 freeze for the relevant Wave would relocate. The number of call signs to be reconfigured has decreased to the extent that the TA receives elections from Public Safety incumbent licensees opting not to reconfigure. Through December 31, 2007, the TA received 239 Expansion Band Election filings from Public Safety licensees electing to remain in

⁴⁶ The table includes site-specific (non-EA) call signs with primary fixed locations above 851 MHz, and – as of the second quarter of 2007 – all NPSPAC call signs, including STAs and licenses without fixed locations (e.g., mobile-only authorizations). It does not include Sprint Nextel or SouthernLINC call signs. There are a number of ancillary call signs licensed in the 806-821 MHz (non-NPSPAC) range – for instance call signs with mobile frequencies related to reconfiguring base stations – that are not included in the counts but will, however, be reconfigured in association with related call signs that are included in the counts. See Appendix 5 for more detailed data.

⁴⁷ The data in the table includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas adjacent to Canada and Mexico.

⁴⁸ By June 30, 2006, the TA had received and approved requests to defer 38 Channels 1-120 call signs to Wave 4 from licensees in the Hurricane Katrina affected region. These call signs are still counted in the Wave 3 data.

⁴⁹ Certain public safety call signs in the border areas are counted as Expansion Band herein. This may change when the border area band plans are finalized.

the Expansion Band.⁵⁰ Second, mobile-only systems and other secondary licenses (itinerant, demonstration, and temporary) are not generally being reconfigured in bands other than the NPSPAC channels.⁵¹ Third, licenses under contract for voluntary reconfiguration agreements for which Sprint Nextel will not be seeking credit are not included in the totals to the extent the agreement has been consummated and closed prior to December 26, 2007. Fourth, the call sign figures in this report include only active call signs. The current population of call signs will be reduced by any call signs that cancel without an FRA; it will also be increased for new call signs granted from FCC license reinstatements or pending applications filed prior to the commencement of an application freeze related to reconfiguration. Fifth, the data includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas adjacent to Canada and Mexico. In these areas the calls signs are defined based on the standard U.S. band plan; the data may change once revised border area band plans are available. Finally, the TA and Sprint Nextel have jointly defined milestones to track the status of ongoing reconfiguration activities at the licensee level.

B. Frequency Proposals

Because of the international border area band plans still under negotiation with Canada and Mexico, Frequency Proposal Reports were sent only to Wave 4 licensees with systems located far enough away from the border so as not to be affected by revised border area band plans. Wave 4 licensees with systems located in, or affected by, the international border areas with Mexico and Canada have not yet received FPRs from the TA pending the availability of revised border area band plans. As additional call signs are granted for pending applications, for STAs at the request of licensees, or because of additional analysis of border area clearing options, FPRs will be sent in periodic batches. FPRs for STAs with NPSPAC frequencies are sent upon licensee request.

Table 6: Total Frequencies Analyzed and Proposed by Wave, as of December 31, 2007

| Wave | Stage 1 and Expansion Band Frequencies | NPSPAC Frequencies |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| Wave 1 | 4,121 | 28,708 |
| Wave 2 | 2,061 | 11,519 |
| Wave 3 | 3,926 | 18,690 |
| Wave 4 | 940 | 1,092 |
| Total | 11,048 | 60,009 |
| Additions this Quarter | 0 | 178 |

The TA has sent 1,132 FPRs for Public Safety Expansion Band call signs in Waves 1-4 (unchanged in this quarter). Although Public Safety licensees may elect to remain on their

⁵⁰ A list of entities that submitted Expansion Band Election filings as of December 31, 2007 appears in Appendix 4. The TA has granted license requests for rescission of thirteen of these elections.

⁵¹ On December 20, 2006, the FCC issued guidance regarding the treatment of STA licenses within the reconfiguration process. The TA is continually assessing which licensees and call signs are affected by this guidance and updates call sign, FPR, and related data as necessary as it is determined which STA call signs are affected by reconfiguration. The data is most likely to change for NPSPAC call signs, where significant numbers of STAs are being filed for and granted.

current channels, for planning purposes, new frequency proposals were prepared for all relevant call signs.⁵² Most of these Expansion Band frequencies will be reconfigured within the same timeframe as the NPSPAC channels since many of the Expansion Band licensees are also associated with NPSPAC networks.

For each Wave, there were FPRs for certain call signs that were not generated or have been delayed. The primary reasons for this are: (1) the call sign is licensed in the FCC-defined border area; (2) the call sign is affected by the border areas and frequency planning must be done in conjunction with the yet-to-be determined specialized border area band plans; or (3) the licensee negotiated an FRA ahead of its wave and the reconfiguration process is already underway.

Delays in sending certain individual FPRs have not materially impacted the progress of reconfiguration. Generally, the delay in an FPR is indicative of a larger issue that needs to be resolved. Once that issue is resolved, the FPR can be sent and the licensee can move on quickly with the process.

C. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review

1. Stage 1

The table below provides an overview of the elapsed time required by the TA to review and approve Channels 1-120 FRAs submitted to the TA.

**Table 7: TA FRA Review Timeframes (in Business Days) for Approval of Stage 1
(Channels 1-120) FRAs**

| Wave | 1-5 Days from Receipt | 6-10 Days from Receipt | 11-15 Days from Receipt | 16-20 Days from Receipt | 21 Days or More from Receipt | TOTAL |
|--------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements | | | | | |
| Wave 1 | 292 | 48 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 353 |
| Wave 2 | 168 | 35 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 206 |
| Wave 3 | 218 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 240 |
| Wave 4 | 102 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 106 |
| Total | 780 | 104 | 20 | 1* | 0 | 905 |

* FRA required coordination with the FCC to ensure licensee's requests were in compliance with the *Report and Order*.

The table below illustrates the TA's time to review Channels 1-120 FRAs compared to service level targets, on a percentage basis.

⁵² As of December 31, 2007, Public Safety licensees had filed elections not to reconfigure for 401 call signs.

Table 8: TA Stage 1 FRA Review Performance vs. Service Level Targets

| Time to Review | Within 5 business days | Within 10 business days | Within 15 business days |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Service Levels | 80% | 95% | 100% |
| TA's Performance | 86% | 98% | 100% |

Additional information regarding the status of FRA review for Channels 1-120 (on a per wave, per region basis) can be found in Appendix 6.

2. Stage 2

Relative to Stage 1 FRAs, Stage 2 FRAs generally include more complex reconfigurations and associated cost estimates. The table below provides an overview of the elapsed time required by the TA to review and approve Stage 2 FRAs submitted to the TA.

Table 9: TA FRA Review Timeframes (in Business Days) for Approval of Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) FRAs

| Wave | 1-5 Days from Receipt | 6-10 Days from Receipt | 11-15 Days from Receipt | 16-20 Days from Receipt | 21 Days or More from Receipt | TOTAL |
|--------|--|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------|
| | Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements | | | | | |
| Wave 1 | 206 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 236 |
| Wave 2 | 128 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| Wave 3 | 697 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| Wave 4 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Total | 417 | 47 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 472 |

The table below illustrates the TA's time to review Stage 2 FRAs on a percentage basis.

Table 10: TA Stage 2 FRA Review Performance

| Time to Review | Within 5 business days | Within 10 business days | Within 15 business days |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Service Levels | 80% | 95% | 100% |
| TA's Performance | 88% | 98% | 100% |

Additional information regarding the status of FRA review for Stage 2 (on a per wave, per region basis) can be found in Appendix 7.

Although the TA continues to meet its service level goals for reviewing FRAs, approximately 24 percent of the Stage 2 FRAs approved during the fourth quarter required the issuance of a Request for Information ("RFI"). In some cases, multiple RFIs were required to resolve deficient items, thereby delaying TA review. Examples of the types of missing information include:

- Lack of or insufficient details associated with the reconfiguration costs;
- Lack of or insufficient details associated with travel costs;

- Lack of or insufficient details associated with Project Management, Legal, or Engineering Consulting services and the associated costs identified in the FRA;
- Inconsistencies between payment terms identified in an FRA and Sprint Nextel's supporting back office system;
- Modifications to standard FRA contract terms and conditions; and
- Incomplete or erroneous FRA schedules regarding frequencies or call signs.

D. FCC Reconfiguration Applications

The TA has worked with FCC staff to define and implement data transfers to authenticate applications related to reconfiguration. The table below summarizes the status of reconfiguration applications for site-specific call signs submitted to the FCC through December 31, 2007.

Table 11: Reconfiguration FCC Application Milestones for Channels 1-120 Call Signs as of December 31, 2007⁵³

| Wave | Updated Population as of 12/31/2007 | Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC ⁵⁴ | Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | Number of Call Signs | | | | |
| Wave 1 | 802 | 746 | 746 | 729 | 661 |
| Wave 2 | 478 | 435 | 435 | 453 | 417 |
| Wave 3 | 573 | 415 | 407 | 368 | 261 |
| Wave 4 | 905 | 170 | 170 | 150 | 137 |
| TOTAL | 2758 | 1766 | 1758 | 1700 | 1476 |

The procedure developed by the TA together with the FCC and Sprint Nextel for processing reconfiguration related applications continues to function well. For Private Mobile Radio Service ("PMRS") applications that do not require public notice, the average time from filing to grant remained at 7.7 calendar days to add new frequencies. Applications to delete reconfigured PMRS channels are being granted in an average of 12.1 days.

Applications for non-EA Specialized Mobile Radio ("SMR") systems that may require a 30-day public notice are being granted, on average, in 49.1 calendar days to add new frequencies. Applications to delete reconfigured SMR channels are being granted in an average of 19.1 days.

During the fourth quarter the TA worked with the FCC's Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau and the FCC's Gettysburg Office to refine the process of modifying EA licenses affected by 800 MHz band reconfiguration.

⁵³ The data in the table includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas adjacent to Canada and Mexico.

⁵⁴ Some FRAs stipulate that certain call signs are to be cancelled rather than reconfigured. Such cancellations are considered surrender applications for the purpose of this analysis. It is possible therefore that there will be more Surrender Applications than Reconfiguration Applications for one or more waves.

Appendix 5 contains additional information regarding the TA's FCC reconfiguration application milestones (on a per region basis) as of December 31, 2007.

E. Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications (Closing)

As of December 31, 2007, the TA had received eight PFA Completion Certifications and had reviewed and certified eight PFAs as complete.

During the fourth quarter of 2007, an additional 32 FRA Completion Certifications⁵⁵ were submitted to the TA, raising the total number received by the TA to 654. Of these, the TA had reviewed and certified 649 FRAs as complete,⁵⁶ with the remaining five under review as of December 31, 2007. Appendix 8 contains a summary of deals that have closed as of December 31, 2007.

Sprint Nextel has provided the TA with the following data that describes the status of deals, in terms of numbers of FRAs in each stage of the contract closing process as of December 31, 2007.

⁵⁵ From this point forward all subsequent information is related to FRA Completion Certifications only.

⁵⁶ Of these, 632 were for Stage 1 licensees and 22 were for Stage 2 licensees.

Table 12 Status of FRAs in the Closing Process (after completion of physical reconfiguration)⁵⁷

| Status of FRAs | Number |
|---|------------|
| Closed FRAs | 649 |
| FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review | 5 |
| FRAs Pending in the Sprint Nextel Closing Process: | |
| Sprint Nextel Execution of the Completion Certificates | 14 |
| Sprint Nextel Receipt of Signed Completion Certificates from Incumbent Licensees | 25 |
| Sprint Nextel Preparation of Completion Certificates | 15 |
| Total FRAs in the Sprint Nextel Closing Process | 54 |
| FRAs Pending in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process: | |
| Sprint Nextel Pending Receipt of Signed Reconciliation Statement | 24 |
| Sprint Nextel Waiting for Receipts and Preparing Actual Cost Reconciliation Statement | 132 |
| Sprint Nextel Preparing Request for Receipt Letter | 24 |
| Total FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process | 180 |
| FRAs not in the above Closing or Actual Cost Reconciliation Processes: | |
| Finalization of either certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities or Requisite Regulatory Filings | 4 |
| Completion of the Actual Cost Reconciliation and either Finalization of certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities or Requisite Regulatory Filings | 34 |
| Completion of the Actual Cost Reconciliation, Requisite Regulatory Filings, and certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities | 10 |
| Total FRAs not in the above Closing or Actual Cost Reconciliation Processes | 48 |
| Total FRAs for which physical reconfiguration is complete | 936 |

The TA has observed significant lags between completing physical reconfiguration and completing all necessary filings with the FCC and processing Completion Certifications through Sprint Nextel and the TA. For the closed FRAs (including those pending TA review), the average elapsed time between the completion of the physical reconfiguration and completion of the closing process was 6.4 months as of December 31, 2007 (compared to 6.2 months for the period ending September 30, 2007). For FRAs that have not yet closed, the average outstanding elapsed time from completion of the physical reconfiguration as of December 31, 2007 is 11.1 months (compared to 9.6 months as of September 30, 2007).

⁵⁷ Sprint Nextel is the data source for this table.

As of December 31, 2007, the major area of delay was the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process which accounts for 64 percent of the FRAs that have completed the physical reconfiguration but are not yet closed. Of the 180 FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process, 162 (90 percent) of the FRAs have been in this same stage for three months or longer. The status of deals, in terms of numbers and percentage of FRAs outstanding in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Stage is listed in the table below.

Table 13: Status of Outstanding FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Stage (after completion of physical reconfiguration but prior to closing)⁵⁸

| Outstanding for | Number of FRAs | Percentage of the Total FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process |
|---------------------|----------------|--|
| Less than 3 Months | 18 | 10% |
| 3 to 6 Months | 27 | 15% |
| 6 to 9 Months | 31 | 17% |
| 9 to 12 Months | 37 | 21% |
| 12 Months or Longer | 67 | 37% |

The average time to complete the Actual Cost Reconciliation under an FRA once the physical reconfiguration was completed continues to be influenced by delays in processing Change Notices by the incumbent licensee and Sprint Nextel and delays in incumbent licensees submitting to Sprint Nextel complete, accurate, and/or timely information required for the Actual Cost Reconciliation to substantiate Actual Costs incurred as well as Sprint Nextel delays in administering the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process. To minimize the effort and times associated with the closing process, incumbent licensees are strongly encouraged to review the following TA guidance, *Change Notice Process Fact Sheet*, *Actual Cost Reconciliation Fact Sheet* and *Incumbent Labor Reimbursement Policy*, which are available on the TA's website at www.800TA.org. The TA will continue to monitor the closing process.

⁵⁸ Sprint Nextel is the data source for this table.

V. COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS

To facilitate successful 800 MHz band reconfiguration, licensees and other stakeholders must have rapid and consistent access to accurate reconfiguration information, processes and procedures. As such, the TA executes an approach that is intended to engage, educate, and equip the impacted stakeholders with the knowledge necessary to plan and implement reconfiguration activities. The TA employs a multi-pronged approach, including direct calling campaigns, conference and event attendance (“Stakeholder Outreach”), and interaction with industry press to accomplish these goals.

A. Stakeholder Inquiries

The TA maintains a “Contact Center” to receive and process questions and requests for information regarding reconfiguration and the TA’s activities. The TA receives inquiries from a variety of stakeholders: licensees, vendors, consultants, associations, and the trade press. During the fourth quarter, the TA received a total of 2,689 inquiries to the Contact Center (1202 in October 2007; 771 in November 2007; 716 in December 2007). Access to the Contact Center is critically important to ensure that licensees and other stakeholders are able to obtain information to prepare for and implement the reconfiguration of their system(s).

B. TA-Produced Materials and the TA’s Website

During the fourth quarter, the TA continued to distribute informational materials to stakeholders relating to the reconfiguration process, including fact sheets, licensee forms, press releases, direct mailings, and other materials as listed below. Many of these items are posted on the TA’s website (www.800TA.org).

- Channel Clearing Request Process – Published Channel Clearing resources to provide a mechanism for licensees to notify Sprint Nextel and the TA of their request for their new frequencies to be cleared by Sprint Nextel. Resources include a Channel Clearing Request Form and related fact sheet with additional information and instructions.
- Implementation Planning Sessions – Updated implementation fact sheet to provide further information relating to benefits, purpose, and tips for Implementation Planning Sessions. Published dates of upcoming sessions and related information.
- Updates to Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) – In October 2007, the TA published new FAQs regarding the FCC’s *September 12, 2007 Public Notice*.
- Schedule and Freeze Date Graphic – Based on the recent extensions of the mandatory negotiation period and the application freeze for Wave 4, Stages 1 and 2 border area licensees, the TA updated all relevant information on the TA’s website and in print materials to reflect the extensions.
- Email Campaigns – Released five email campaigns relating to reconfiguration guidance and recent FCC Public Notices and Orders resulting in over 625 views.

These new or modified materials, in addition to materials previously published, are intended to provide stakeholders with sufficient information to effectively plan, negotiate, and implement reconfiguration.

The TA issued the following press releases during the fourth quarter:

- “Wave 4, Stage 1 Negotiation Period Extended for Border-Area Non-NPSPAC Licensees” (October 3, 2007)
- “The TA Publishes Guidance for Channel Clearing Request Process” (November 30, 2007)

During the fourth quarter, the TA also submitted written articles to various Public Safety communications publications as another medium to communicate with licensees and to educate those involved in the rebanding process.

The TA’s website is a significant component of the Stakeholder Outreach efforts. The TA’s listserv feature – TA Alerts – allows website visitors to sign up to receive emails from the TA with the latest updates and news and has 269 active subscribers to date, an increase of about 9% from the previous quarter. During the fourth quarter, updates were made to the TA’s website to post and advertise new and updated TA-produced materials and FCC Public Notices and Orders. The TA’s website received an estimated 6,404 visits during the fourth quarter of 2007, a 1% increase over the 6,339 visits in the third quarter of 2007.⁵⁹

C. Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training

1. Meetings and Conferences

Meetings and events are a central component of the TA’s ongoing efforts to communicate with and educate impacted stakeholders and licensees. Meetings and conferences attended by TA representatives during the fourth quarter and those expected to be attended during the first quarter of 2008 are listed in Appendix 9.

2. Licensee Outreach Campaigns

In an effort to further the progress of reconfiguration, the TA continued its communication and outreach efforts this quarter. Specifically, the TA executed an outbound communications campaign to licensees that were scheduled to participate in Implementation Planning Sessions held by the TA. This effort included the calling of licensees, gathering of information, and mailing of information pertaining to the meetings for the following regions: Indiana (Region 14); Illinois (Region 13); Chicago – Metro (Region 54); New England (Region 19); the San Francisco area of Northern California (Region 6); Oregon (Region 35); Nebraska (Region 26); Iowa (Region 15); and Nevada (Region 27).

⁵⁹ The TA has adopted “visits” instead of “hits” as a more accurate metric to utilize in identifying website traffic.

VI. FINANCIAL

This section provides information for the fourth quarter of 2007 regarding the external audit and the TA's fees and expenses. The TA will file at a later date a supplement to this Quarterly Progress Report that will include other financial information for the fourth quarter of 2007, including reconfiguration expenditures, letters of credit, and 800 MHz incumbent licensee reviews.

A. External Audit

In the fourth quarter of 2007, Reznick Group, the external auditors selected by the TA, commenced the annual audit of program expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2007 and for the period from inception (August 6, 2004) through December 31, 2007 ("the 2007 Audit"). As discussed previously, certain 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs and Sprint Nextel Costs have not been submitted by Sprint Nextel to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit. Accordingly, these costs will be included in a subsequent period audit.

During the 2007 Audit, incumbent licensees that have executed closing documents that have been reviewed and approved by the TA are subject to audit. As part of the 2007 Audit, Reznick Group will select incumbent licensee's closing documents to audit the actual costs reimbursed. As part of the process, Reznick Group will review the documentation provided to Sprint Nextel by the incumbent licensee as part of the Actual Cost Reconciliation process and determine what additional records and supporting documentation is required, if any. In situations where additional documentation is required to be provided by the incumbent licensee, the TA will notify the incumbent licensees by certified mail. The TA's letter will identify the reimbursed cost estimate line item(s) for which additional support is required, provide instructions on where to submit such records, and provide a due date for the incumbent licensee to provide such records. The TA's letter will be sent to the contacts identified in the legal notices section of the incumbent licensee's executed PFA or FRA. The TA encourages all incumbent licensees to ensure that the contact information in the legal notices section of their PFA or FRA is current.

B. Transition Administrator

1. Fees, Expenses, and Staffing

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ended December 31, 2007 were \$8.6 million in fees and \$0.15 million in expenses, for a total of \$8.75 million, which is approximately \$1.85 million lower than the forecast for the fourth quarter. Additional details are provided in Appendix 10. TA staffing as of December 31, 2007 consisted of 76 Full Time Equivalents ("FTEs").

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ending March 31, 2008 are estimated at \$9.5 million in fees and \$0.2 million in expenses, for a total of \$9.7 million.

2. **Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees**

In accordance with the TA's Independence Management Plan, the TA reports that BearingPoint received \$4,658,465 from Sprint Nextel in non-TA fees and costs for the quarter ended December 31, 2007.⁶⁰

⁶⁰ See Independence Management Plan for the 800 MHz Transition Administrator Team Members (Version 1.1), WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed May 9, 2005), at 4.

Appendix 1

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a) | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a) | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a) | FRAs Submitted to TA | FRAs Approved by TA |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---------------------|
| | | Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs) | | | |
| Wave 1 | 356 | 355 | 354 | 353 | 353 |
| Multiregion | 103 | 102 | 101 | 100 | 100 |
| 6 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 7 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 8 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 13 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 14 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 19 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 20 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 |
| 27 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 28 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| 35 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 41 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 42 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 45 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 54 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Wave 2 | 206 | 206 | 206 | 206 | 206 |
| Multiregion | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| PSR TBD (b) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 12 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 15 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 16 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 17 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 22 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| 24 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| 25 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 26 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 38 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 39 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| 40 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 44 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 51 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 52 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |

Appendix 1

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a) | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a) | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a) | FRAs Submitted to TA | FRAs Approved by TA |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---------------------|
| | | Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs) | | | |
| Wave 3 | 247 | 246 | 242 | 240 | 240 |
| Multiregion | 79 | 78 | 76 | 76 | 76 |
| PSR TBD (b) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 9 | 56 | 56 | 54 | 54 | 54 |
| 10 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 18 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 23 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| 31 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |
| 37 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 47 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 |
| 48 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Wave 4 | 122 | 110 | 107 | 106 | 106 |
| Multiregion | 24 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| PSR TBD (b) | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 3 | 23 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 18 | 16 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 30 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 33 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 36 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 43 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 50 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 53 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 54 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 931 | 917 | 909 | 905 | 905 |

Notes:

(a) Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007


| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/07 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| | | Number of Call Signs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 802 | 775 | 775 | 767 | 767 | 746 | 746 | 752 | 728 | 729 | 661 |
| 6 | CA - North | 109 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 107 | 106 | 106 | 106 | 85 | 85 | 66 |
| 7 | Colorado | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 20 |
| 8 | NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA) | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 95 | 96 | 96 | 93 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 56 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 54 |
| 13 | Illinois | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 37 | 37 | 39 | 40 | 38 | 37 |
| 14 | Indiana | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| 19 | ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT* | 81 | 57 | 57 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 57 | 57 | 56 | 55 | 55 | 50 |
| 27 | Nevada | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 63 | 61 |
| 28 | NJ, PA, DE | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 65 | 67 | 67 | 63 |
| 35 | Oregon | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 47 | 41 |
| 41 | Utah | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| 42 | Virginia | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 52 | 44 | 44 | 52 | 49 | 50 | 30 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 54 | Chicago | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 33 | 36 | 36 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 478 | 457 | 457 | 457 | 457 | 435 | 435 | 452 | 457 | 453 | 417 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 23 |
| 12 | Idaho* | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 15 | Iowa | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 |
| 16 | Kansas | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 14 |
| 22 | Minnesota* | 76 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 68 | 68 | 68 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 24 | Missouri | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| 25 | Montana* | 19 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 14 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 32 | North Dakota* | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 43 | 42 | 37 |
| 40 | TX - Dallas | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 49 | TX - Austin | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 7 |
| 51 | TX - Houston | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 39 | 39 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 35 |
| 52 | TX - Lubbock | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 33 | 33 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 40 |

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/07 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| | | Number of Call Signs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | 573 | 489 | 489 | 483 | 482 | 415 | 407 | 458 | 357 | 368 | 261 |
| 1 | Alabama | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 |
| 9 | Florida | 221 | 213 | 213 | 211 | 210 | 195 | 194 | 193 | 109 | 123 | 111 |
| 10 | Georgia | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 38 | 38 | 46 | 44 | 45 | 29 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 79 | 60 | 60 | 58 | 58 | 50 | 50 | 54 | 49 | 49 | 35 |
| 23 | Mississippi | 22 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 21 | 11 |
| 31 | North Carolina | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 50 | 45 | 67 | 61 | 58 | 41 |
| 37 | South Carolina | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 22 | 20 | 37 | 35 | 35 | 19 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 66 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 6 |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 23 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 3 |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 905 | 176 | 176 | 176 | 176 | 170 | 170 | 169 | 171 | 150 | 137 |
| 2 | Alaska* | 23 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 3 | Arizona* | 75 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 50 | 48 | 48 | 45 |
| 5 | CA - South* | 139 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 21 | Michigan* | 62 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 25 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 21 | 20 |
| 30 | NY - Albany* | 95 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 13 |
| 33 | Ohio* | 109 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 10 |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 12 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 5 |
| 43 | Washington* | 155 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 16 |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 3 | 3 |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago* | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo* | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 | Gulf of Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total for Waves 1-4 | | 2758 | 1897 | 1897 | 1883 | 1882 | 1766 | 1758 | 1831 | 1713 | 1700 | 1476 |

Notes:

- a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.
- c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- d. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- e. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- f. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- g. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.



The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/07 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| | | Number of Call Signs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 340 | 126 | 126 | 122 | 99 | 66 | 64 | 57 | 44 | 41 | 19 |
| 6 | CA - North | 88 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 2 |
| 7 | Colorado | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA) | 28 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| 13 | Illinois | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Indiana | 34 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| 19 | ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT* | 24 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 27 | Nevada | 20 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 28 | NJ, PA, DE | 28 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 35 | Oregon | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | Utah | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 42 | Virginia | 23 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 9 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | Chicago | 30 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 10 | 7 | 9 | 3 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 176 | 87 | 87 | 82 | 81 | 37 | 36 | 40 | 24 | 25 | 12 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 44 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| 12 | Idaho* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Iowa | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | Kansas | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 14 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 |
| 22 | Minnesota* | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| 24 | Missouri | 11 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 25 | Montana* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 32 | North Dakota* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 30 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 40 | TX - Dallas | 21 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | TX - Austin | 9 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | TX - Houston | 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | TX - Lubbock | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/07 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Number of Call Signs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | 202 | 58 | 58 | 52 | 50 | 34 | 33 | 28 | 19 | 19 | 6 |
| 1 | Alabama | 27 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| 9 | Florida | 64 | 21 | 21 | 18 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| 10 | Georgia | 28 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | Mississippi | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| 31 | North Carolina | 27 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 37 | South Carolina | 24 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 310 | 94 | 94 | 93 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 7 |
| 2 | Alaska* | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Arizona* | 21 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | CA - South* | 102 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Michigan* | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | NY - Albany* | 64 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | Ohio* | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 15 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 43 | Washington* | 20 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago* | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo* | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 | Gulf of Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total for Waves 1-4 | | 1028 | 365 | 365 | 349 | 246 | 147 | 143 | 134 | 96 | 94 | 44 |

Notes:

- a. Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.
- c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- d. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Expansion Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- e. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- f. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- g. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/07 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Number of Call Signs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 1840 | 1083 | 1083 | 1070 | 787 | 181 | 178 | 55 | 24 | 2 | 1 |
| 6 | CA - North | 135 | 44 | 44 | 42 | 38 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Colorado | 226 | 219 | 219 | 219 | 219 | 129 | 129 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA) | 391 | 334 | 334 | 333 | 74 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 26 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Illinois | 140 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Indiana | 120 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT* | 122 | 42 | 42 | 40 | 40 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 87 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | Nevada | 38 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | NJ, PA, DE | 197 | 140 | 140 | 140 | 138 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | Oregon | 37 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 41 | Utah | 146 | 146 | 146 | 146 | 146 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | Virginia | 46 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | Chicago | 126 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 668 | 222 | 222 | 211 | 208 | 61 | 57 | 46 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 94 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Idaho* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Iowa | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Kansas | 209 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 16 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Minnesota* | 50 | 48 | 48 | 48 | 46 | 27 | 24 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | Missouri | 19 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | Montana* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 30 | 21 | 107 | 21 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | North Dakota* | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 32 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 56 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | TX - Dallas | 41 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | TX - Austin | 62 | 14 | 14 | 9 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | TX - Houston | 36 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | TX - Lubbock | 2 | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/07 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Number of Call Signs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | 892 | 119 | 119 | 107 | 97 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Alabama | 33 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Florida | 315 | 45 | 45 | 43 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Georgia | 75 | 29 | 29 | 22 | 22 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 60 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | Mississippi | 21 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | North Carolina | 220 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 37 | South Carolina | 158 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 1494 | 55 | 55 | 47 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Alaska* | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Arizona* | 96 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | CA - South* | 354 | 27 | 27 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Michigan* | 280 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 9 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | NY - Albany* | 187 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | Ohio* | 134 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 212 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | Washington* | 144 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 28 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago* | 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo* | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 | Gulf of Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total for Waves 1-4 | | 4894 | 1479 | 1479 | 1435 | 1113 | 246 | 239 | 103 | 38 | 3 | 2 |

Notes:

- Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.
- The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 866-869 MHz range, and those with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- As of the second quarter of 2007, the NPSPAC call sign data includes STA NPSPAC call signs and call signs without fixed locations (e.g., mobile-only authorizations).
- Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Southeast ESMR Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007


| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/07 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| | | Number of Call Signs | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | CA - North | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Colorado | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Illinois | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Indiana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | Nevada | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | NJ, PA, DE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 | Oregon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | Utah | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 42 | Virginia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | Chicago | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Idaho* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Iowa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Kansas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Minnesota* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | Missouri | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 | Montana* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 | North Dakota* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 40 | TX - Dallas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | TX - Austin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 51 | TX - Houston | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | TX - Lubbock | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Southeast ESMR Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Updated Call Sign Population as of 12/31/07 | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement | Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA | TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted | Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies | Incumbent Clears Frequencies | Through 12/31/07 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC | Through 12/31/07 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| Number of Call Signs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | 242 | 154 | 154 | 152 | 151 | 132 | 129 | 129 | 116 | 116 | 82 |
| 1 | Alabama | 56 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 18 |
| 9 | Florida | 38 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| 10 | Georgia | 75 | 57 | 57 | 55 | 55 | 50 | 50 | 46 | 46 | 45 | 35 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| 23 | Mississippi | 49 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 39 | 39 | 39 | 34 | 36 | 21 |
| 31 | North Carolina | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 37 | South Carolina | 12 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Alaska* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Arizona* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | CA - South* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Michigan* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | NY - Albany* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 33 | Ohio* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | Washington* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo* | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 | Gulf of Mexico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | Guam | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total for Waves 1-4 | | 249 | 161 | 161 | 159 | 158 | 136 | 133 | 133 | 120 | 120 | 86 |

Notes:

- a. Data for the Southeast ESMR Band call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- c. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Southeast ESMR Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- d. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- e. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- f. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.



Appendix 3

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | Number of Stage 2 FRAs (a) | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a) | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a) | FRAs Submitted to TA | FRAs Approved by TA |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---------------------|
| | | Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs) | | | |
| Wave 1 | 362 | 342 | 255 | 242 | 236 |
| Multiregion | 48 | 48 | 34 | 33 | 32 |
| PSR TBD (b) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 6 | 34 | 34 | 29 | 28 | 27 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 8 | 47 | 44 | 37 | 36 | 36 |
| 11 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| 13 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| 14 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 15 |
| 19 | 51 | 48 | 37 | 35 | 35 |
| 20 | 31 | 25 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 27 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 28 | 21 | 20 | 15 | 14 | 13 |
| 35 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 41 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 42 | 24 | 23 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 45 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 54 | 38 | 36 | 28 | 27 | 26 |
| Wave 2 | 233 | 223 | 152 | 145 | 142 |
| Multiregion | 19 | 19 | 14 | 12 | 12 |
| PSR TBD (b) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| 16 | 20 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 17 | 16 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 |
| 24 | 13 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 17 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| 32 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | 27 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 40 | 32 | 31 | 21 | 20 | 20 |
| 44 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 46 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 49 | 18 | 17 | 12 | 9 | 7 |
| 51 | 11 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 52 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix 3

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | Number of Stage 2 FRAs (a) | Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a) | Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a) | FRAs Submitted to TA | FRAs Approved by TA |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---------------------|
| | | Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs) | | | |
| Wave 3 | 236 | 213 | 89 | 80 | 76 |
| Multiregion | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| PSR TBD (b) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 1 | 23 | 22 | 9 | 9 | 8 |
| 9 | 66 | 60 | 27 | 23 | 21 |
| 10 | 38 | 35 | 16 | 13 | 13 |
| 18 | 30 | 24 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| 23 | 13 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 31 | 35 | 35 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| 37 | 17 | 14 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 47 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wave 4 | 61 | 47 | 23 | 21 | 18 |
| Multiregion | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 3 |
| PSR TBD (b) | 11 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 30 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 33 | 11 | 10 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 36 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 50 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 53 | 10 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 54 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 892 | 825 | 519 | 488 | 472 |

Notes:

(a) Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2007

| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
|---|----|-----------|--|
| North Slope, Borough of | AK | WNDX449 | 860.7375 |
| North Slope, Borough of | AK | WPZW513 | 860.7375 |
| North Slope, Borough of | AK | WPZW653 | 860.7375 |
| Dothan, City of | AL | WPQD755 | 858.4875 |
| Houston, County of | AL | WPQH284 | 857.7625, 858.2625 |
| Mobile, County of | AL | WNUX634 | 857.7625, 857.9875, 858.2375, 858.2625, 858.4375, 858.4625 |
| Northport, City of | AL | WNJD323 | 857.7125 |
| Bentonville, City of | AR | WPPH830 | 860.2625 |
| Fayetteville, City of | AR | WPJI661 | 860.2375, 860.7375 |
| Hot Springs, City of | AR | WPHP482 | 860.2625 |
| Jefferson, County of | AR | WNVN873 | 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7375, 860.9625 |
| Jefferson, County of | AR | WPLY444 | 860.2125 |
| Paragould, City of | AR | WPFN317 | 860.2875 |
| Arizona, State of | AZ | WNMY720 | 860.2125, 860.9375 |
| Flagstaff, City of | AZ | WPWK889 | 860.4375 |
| Lake Havasu City, City of | AZ | WPXB342 | 860.4375, 860.9625 |
| Paradise Valley, Town of | AZ | WNMW364 | 860.2375 |
| Phoenix, City of | AZ | WNMT600 | 860.9875 |
| City of Palm Springs | CA | WNZH770 | 860.7125 |
| Contra Costa Community College District | CA | WNMM865 | 860.2375 |
| Contra Costa Community College District | CA | WNMM866 | 860.2375 |
| Elk Grove Unified School District | CA | WPJY405 | 860.7875 |
| Lassen Union School District | CA | WPEF987 | 860.7875 |
| Lodi, City of | CA | WNLH967 | 860.2125 |
| Long Beach, City of | CA | KNCR530 | 860.2375 |
| Los Angeles, County of | CA | KNER447 | 860.2625 |
| Los Angeles, County of | CA | WPDV636 | 860.2625 |
| Marin, County of | CA | KNJH407 | 860.9375 |
| Marin, County of | CA | WPFQ266 | 860.4625 |
| Merced, City of | CA | WPPX706 | 860.4375 |
| Monterey Salinas Transit Authority | CA | WPRI866 | 860.2125 |
| Mountain Valley Emergency Medical Services Agency (Stanislaus County) | CA | WNVJ731 | 860.9375 |
| Orange, County of, CA | CA | WNIB734 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | CA | WPMX476 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | CA | WPMX750 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | CA | WPMX751 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | CA | WPMX752 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | CA | WPMY325 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | CA | WPMY394 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | CA | WPMZ774 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | CA | WPMZ776 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | CA | WPNP991 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Orange, County of, CA | CA | WQZ938 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| Palo Alto, City of | CA | WNFI750 | 860.7125 |
| Placer, County of | CA | WPIE742 | 860.9375 |
| Pleasant Hill, City of | CA | WNMP521 | 860.4375 |
| Sacramento City Unified School District | CA | WNHX890 | 860.4625 |
| Sacramento, County of | CA | WNBQ990 | 860.7125 |
| Sacramento, County of | CA | WPDD467 | 860.2125, 860.4375 |
| Sacramento, County of | CA | WPWV729 | 860.4875 |
| Sacramento, County of | CA | WPXL514 | 860.4875 |
| Sacramento, County of | CA | WQDK496 | 860.4875 |
| Sacramento, County of | CA | WQDK705 | 860.4875 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WNNB563 | 860.2500, 860.4750 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WNNB565 | 860.2500 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WNNB566 | 860.4500 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WNNB567 | 860.2500 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WNNB568 | 860.2500 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WNNB575 | 860.2500 |
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WNNB576 | 860.4500 |

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2007

| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
|---|----|-----------|--|
| San Bernardino, County of | CA | WNNB578 | 860.2250, 860.9500 |
| San Francisco, City and County of | CA | KNGD851 | 860.4875 |
| San Francisco, City and County of | CA | WNMP411 | n/a* |
| San Francisco, City and County of | CA | WNMP522 | 860.4625 |
| San Francisco, City and County of | CA | WNNF327 | 860.4375 |
| San Francisco, City and County of | CA | WPQA782 | 860.4875 |
| San Francisco, City and County of | CA | WPQF830 | 860.2125 |
| San Rafael, City of | CA | WNSS412 | 860.9625 |
| Sierra Community College District | CA | WPIE754 | 860.9625 |
| Watsonville, City of | CA | WPKI847 | 860.2375 |
| Arapahoe, County of | CO | WNIJ887 | 860.3125 |
| Aurora, City of | CO | WNAU532 | 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625, 860.9875 |
| Pueblo, City of | CO | WQAL936 | 860.7125 |
| Cromwell, Town of | CT | WNKR770 | 860.9625 |
| District of Columbia | DC | KNJU834 | 860.9875 |
| District of Columbia | DC | WPXT459 | 860.9875 |
| City of West Palm Beach | FL | KNER586 | 860.7125 |
| City of West Palm Beach | FL | WNKD520 | 860.2125 |
| Clay, County of | FL | WPDC613 | 860.4375, 860.9625 |
| Collier, County of | FL | WNLK955 | 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625 |
| Collier, County of | FL | WNSK615 | 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9625 |
| Indian River, County of | FL | WNMZ770 | 860.4875, 860.9875 |
| Indian River, County of | FL | WQHR977 | 860.9875 |
| Indian River, County of | FL | WQHG382 | 860.9875 |
| Jacksonville, City of | FL | WNFP698 | 860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.9375 |
| Jacksonville, City of | FL | WNRE843 | 860.9375 |
| Jacksonville, City of | FL | WNSC913 | 860.2375, 860.7375 |
| Jacksonville, City of | FL | WPGY728 | 860.9875 |
| Jacksonville, City of | FL | WPGY732 | 860.7125 |
| Jacksonville, City of | FL | WPTF860 | 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9375 |
| Miami, City of | FL | KNGR376 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125 |
| Miami, City of | FL | WNCE612 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125 |
| Palm Beach, County of | FL | WNHE888 | 860.3125, 860.3375 |
| Palm Beach, County of | FL | WPRS827 | 860.3125, 860.3375 |
| Saint Lucie, County of | FL | WPDV207 | 860.2375, 860.4625 |
| The School Board of Broward County, Florida | FL | KNJJ560 | 860.9375, 860.9625 |
| Volusia, County of | FL | WNHE867 | 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625 |
| Volusia, County of | FL | WPFQ272 | 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9375 |
| Volusia, County of | FL | WPPW666 | 860.2125 |
| DeKalb County Police Department | GA | KNAN828 | 858.2625 |
| Guam, Government of | GU | WPDA554 | 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875 |
| Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of | GU | WPCG201 | 860.2625 |
| Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of | GU | WPTY944 | 860.9875 |
| Honolulu, City and County of | HI | WPQZ565 | 860.4625 |
| Honolulu, City and County of | HI | WPRG484 | 860.4625 |
| Iowa City, City of | IA | WNXG714 | 860.2625 |
| Iowa City, City of | IA | WNXG746 | 860.9875 |
| Story, County of | IA | WPQI296 | 860.4375 |
| The University of Iowa Hospital & Clinics | IA | WPKN529 | 860.2125 |
| Boise, City of | ID | WPJ857 | 860.9375 |
| Emmett Independent School District | ID | WPYY420 | 860.8875 |
| Idaho, State of | ID | WPIP622 | 860.7625 |
| Idaho, State of | ID | WPIP626 | 860.7625 |
| Idaho, State of | ID | WPIS652 | 860.7625 |
| Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District | ID | WPUD400 | 860.7875 |
| City Colleges of Chicago | IL | WNMA681 | 860.2375 |
| Decatur, City of | IL | WNKC536 | 860.2625, 860.4625 |
| Deerfield, Village of (Police Department) | IL | WNGC398 | 860.7375 |
| Effingham, County of | IL | WPNY754 | 860.4875 |

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2007

| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
|--|----|-----------|------------------------------|
| Illinois, State of | IL | WQCT712 | 860.9375 |
| Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections) | IL | WPLR422 | 860.2625 |
| Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections) | IL | WPMR362 | 860.7375 |
| Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections) | IL | WPPD278 | 860.9375 |
| Jefferson, County of | IL | WPTX994 | 860.4375 |
| La Salle County of | IL | WPUK993 | 860.4875 |
| Lansing, Village of | IL | WNNS478 | 860.7375 |
| Marion County ETSB | IL | KNNT505 | 860.9875 |
| Normal, Town of | IL | WPIX239 | 860.4875 |
| Ogle County Sheriff's Office | IL | WQCV211 | 860.7125 |
| Peoria County Sheriffs Department | IL | WQAB235 | 860.2625, 860.9625, 860.9875 |
| Rolling Meadows, City of | IL | KNJU694 | 860.2125 |
| Springfield, City of | IL | WQAZ465 | 860.4875 |
| Tazewell, County of | IL | WPNW387 | 860.7125 |
| Tazewell, County of | IL | WQCX272 | n/a* |
| Williamson, County of | IL | WPKM918 | 860.7625 |
| Floyd, County of | IN | WPNQ948 | 860.4875 |
| Indiana University | IN | WPCW647 | 860.8875 |
| Steuben, County of | IN | WPDU229 | 860.2125 |
| Tippecanoe, County of | IN | WNQH693 | 860.7375 |
| Garden City, City of | KS | WPMI551 | 860.4375 |
| Kansas City, City of | KS | WNWF608 | 860.7625, 860.9375 |
| Kansas City, City of | KS | WPGP232 | 860.3125 |
| Kentucky, Commonwealth of | KY | WQCP214 | 860.2625 |
| Madison, County of | KY | WNVN963 | 860.4875, 860.7375 |
| Powderly, City of | KY | WQCD705 | 860.4375 |
| Richmond, City of | KY | WQDE403 | 860.2625 |
| Caddo Parish Communications District No 1 | LA | WPMA320 | 860.7125 |
| Caddo Parish Communications District No 1 | LA | WPSQ740 | 860.7125 |
| East Baton Rouge, Parish of | LA | KNJU727 | 860.7125 |
| Iberia, Parish of | LA | WPQY650 | 860.7625 |
| Lafourche, Parish of | LA | WPRX834 | 860.9375 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WNII532 | 860.2375 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WNII533 | 860.2375, 860.7625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WNII534 | 860.7625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WNII535 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WNII536 | 860.4375, 860.9875 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WNMA687 | 860.2625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE601 | 860.7625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE605 | 860.2375 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE609 | 860.9625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE613 | 860.4375 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE617 | 860.9875 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE629 | 860.9625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE633 | 860.4375 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE641 | 860.7625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE657 | 860.2375, 860.4375 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHE661 | 860.4875 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHF287 | 860.9625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPHG955 | 860.4625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPIB392 | 860.7125 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPIR915 | 860.4625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPIR919 | 860.7125 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPIR923 | 860.7625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPJI711 | 860.4625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPKD955 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPMI999 | 860.2625 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPMQ475 | 860.4875 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPNS672 | 860.9875 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPPE847 | 860.2125 |
| Louisiana, State of | LA | WPPE848 | 860.9375 |
| Madison, Parish of | LA | WPMA348 | 857.9625 |

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2007

| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
|---|----|-----------|--|
| Minden, City of | LA | WPWJ531 | 860.4875 |
| New Orleans Convention Center | LA | WPJS469 | 860.2375 |
| New Orleans Regional Transit Authority | LA | WPAP726 | 860.2125, 860.4375 |
| New Orleans, City of | LA | WNCD880 | 860.7875, 860.8125 |
| Orleans Levee District | LA | WNQC758 | 860.2625 |
| Orleans Parish School Board | LA | KNJU690 | 860.7125 |
| Sterlington, Town of | LA | WPNZ848 | 860.7375 |
| Allegany, County of | MD | WPRS598 | 860.4875 |
| Garrett, County of (Board of Education) | MD | WPRU936 | 860.7375 |
| Salisbury, City of | MD | WPHQ675 | 860.7625 |
| Somerset, County of | MD | WPWR884 | 860.9625 |
| Worcester, County of | MD | WPNW557 | 860.4625, 860.7125 |
| Forest Hills Public Schools | MI | WPUA724 | 860.7625 |
| Walker, City of | MI | WPVP312 | 860.4375 |
| Clay, County of | MN | WPLS888 | 860.7125 |
| Dakota, County of | MN | WPEP246 | 860.7375 |
| Metropolitan Council/Metro Transit | MN | WPQH695 | 860.4375 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WPER943 | 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WPKG359 | 860.9375 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WPKG360 | 860.2625 |
| Minnesota, State of | MN | WPYM573 | 860.9875 |
| Moorhead, City of | MN | WPHY859 | 860.4625 |
| Blue Springs, City of | MO | WNDG561 | 860.4875 |
| Curators of the University of Missouri | MO | WPJI572 | 860.2125 |
| Saint Joseph, City of | MO | WPDC582 | 860.4875 |
| State of Missouri, Department of Corrections | MO | WPUK277 | 860.9375 |
| Bolivar County E911 | MS | WPXA863 | 860.2375 |
| City of Columbus | MS | WPNS534 | 858.2125 |
| De Soto, County of | MS | WPCC361 | 860.4875, 860.7625 |
| Jackson-Evers International Airport Authority | MS | WQDD668 | 857.9875 |
| Meridian, City of | MS | WQAP232 | 858.2125 |
| Mississippi, University of | MS | WPJQ621 | 860.7375 |
| Smith, County of | MS | WPKG621 | 858.4375 |
| South Mississippi State Hospital | MS | WPQJ606 | 857.9875 |
| Vicksburg, City of | MS | KNNH519 | 857.7125, 858.2625 |
| Asheville, City of | NC | WNXR226 | 860.7625, 860.9875 |
| McDowell, County of | NC | KNNP950 | 860.9625 |
| Mecklenburg, County of | NC | WNGU623 | 860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WNRU500 | 860.4625, 860.4875 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPHM257 | 860.7125 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPHM264 | 860.4375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPKN591 | 860.7375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPOX341 | 860.4375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPOX343 | 860.4375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPOZ292 | 860.7125 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPPB719 | 860.7125 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPRJ405 | 860.4375 |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPSM605 | n/a* |
| North Carolina State Highway Patrol | NC | WPYC603 | 860.4375 |
| Fargo, City of | ND | KNNT448 | 860.2125 |
| Omaha Public Power District | NE | KNER503 | 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375 |
| Omaha Public Power District | NE | KNER504 | 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375 |
| Omaha Public Power District | NE | WPPY921 | 860.9375 |
| Omaha Public Power District | NE | WPSZ331 | 860.3375 |
| Omaha Public Power District | NE | WPTA210 | 860.3375 |
| Scotts Bluff, County of | NE | WPKU672 | 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7375 |
| Manchester, City of | NH | WPDK444 | 860.4875 |
| Atlantic City, City of | NJ | WPRS952 | 860.7625 |
| Camden, City of | NJ | WNWG655 | 860.9875 |
| Camden, City of | NJ | WQAF461 | 860.9875 |
| Delaware River Port Authority | NJ | WPXY839 | 860.9875 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD570 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2007

| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
|--|----|-----------|--|
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD571 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD572 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD573 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD574 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD575 | 860.2125, 860.7125 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD576 | 860.2125, 860.7125 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD577 | 860.9375 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD578 | 860.9375 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD579 | 860.9375 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNDD580 | 860.2125, 860.7125 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNHS409 | 860.9375 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNHS410 | 860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNII538 | 860.9375 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNJI598 | 860.9375 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNPS351 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNXC890 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNXC891 | 860.2125, 860.7125 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNXZ718 | 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WNZZ317 | 860.7125 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WPSE858 | 860.2125, 860.7125 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WPUH543 | 860.9375 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WPYQ725 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| New Jersey, State of | NJ | WQBY316 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| Vineland, City of | NJ | WNXZ709 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| Bernalillo, County of | NM | WNZW684 | n/a* |
| Bernalillo, County of | NM | WPUW259 | 860.4375, 860.9625 |
| Washoe, County of | NV | WPRX312 | 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9875 |
| Washoe, County of | NV | WPRX313 | 860.7625 |
| Albany County Airport Authority | NY | WPBD381 | 860.7625 |
| Albany, County of | NY | WPTC680 | 860.7375 |
| Chemung, County of | NY | WPDT243 | 860.2625 |
| City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | KNBX914 | 860.7375, 860.9875 |
| City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | KNER623 | 860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375 |
| City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | WPML463 | 860.7625 |
| City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | WPML524 | 860.7625 |
| City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | WPML525 | 860.7625 |
| City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | WPML526 | 860.7625 |
| City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support | NY | WQC1937 | 860.4375 |
| Colonie, Town of | NY | WNRN976 | 860.2625 |
| Colonie, Town of | NY | WPJS475 | 860.2375 |
| New York City Transit Authority | NY | KB23096 | n/a* |
| New York City Transit Authority | NY | KNEH690 | 860.3875, 860.4125 |
| New York City Transit Authority | NY | KNEH691 | n/a* |
| New York City Transit Authority | NY | WNUB684 | 860.3875, 860.4125 |
| New York City Transit Authority | NY | WNUB732 | 860.3875, 860.4125 |
| Niskayuna, Town of | NY | WPKY419 | 860.9875 |
| Rockland, County of | NY | WPED556 | 860.7250 |
| Troy, City of | NY | WPPC682 | 860.9375 |
| Forest Park, City of | OH | WNJH360 | 860.9875 |
| Edmond, City of | OK | WPJT968 | 860.9375 |
| Lincoln, County of | OK | WPVM206 | 860.7375 |
| Norman, City of | OK | WPPC871 | 860.7625, 860.9625 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WPIP614 | 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WPIP618 | 860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7125 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQCQ850 | 860.9875 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQCQ878 | 860.7125 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQFN465 | 860.7125 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQFN466 | 860.7375 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQFN467 | 860.2625 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQFR618 | 860.2125 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQFR886 | 860.4875 |

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2007

| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
|---|----|-----------|--|
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQFR887 | 860.7625 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQFR889 | 860.9375 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQFR890 | 860.2375 |
| Oklahoma, State of | OK | WQGF211 | 860.4375 |
| Shawnee, City of | OK | WNWV280 | 860.4625 |
| Bend, City of | OR | WNVN568 | 860.2125, 860.9625 |
| Deschutes, County of | OR | WPHE354 | 860.7375, 860.9875 |
| Deschutes, County of | OR | WPJR649 | 860.2125, 860.9375 |
| Jackson County Juvenile Department | OR | WQCC874 | 860.2375 |
| Redmond, City of | OR | WQAY688 | 860.7625 |
| Salem, City of | OR | WPKB609 | 860.4875 |
| Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency | OR | WNSK475 | 860.2375, 860.7375 |
| Allegheny County Department Of Emergency Services | PA | KNJH332 | 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.7625 |
| Allegheny County Department Of Emergency Services | PA | WNWC473 | 860.2125, 860.4875 |
| Allegheny County Department Of Emergency Services | PA | WPJG234 | 860.2125, 860.4875 |
| Allentown, City of | PA | WPJK416 | 860.9375 |
| Carlisle, Borough of | PA | KNNK871 | 860.2375, 860.4875 |
| Commonwealth of Penna Bloomsburg University | PA | WPGD607 | 860.8375 |
| Cumberland County, Pennsylvania Department of Emergency Preparedness | PA | WQAB589 | 860.4375 |
| Fayette, County of | PA | WPDS263 | 860.2375 |
| Fayette, County of | PA | WPDS263 | 860.4625 |
| Fayette, County of | PA | WPDS263 | 860.9875 |
| Luzerne, County of | PA | WPMZ512 | 860.7375 |
| Luzerne, County of | PA | WPQD915 | 860.9875 |
| Luzerne, County of | PA | WPYT624 | 860.7125, 860.9625 |
| School District of Philadelphia | PA | WNKV367 | 860.8125, 860.8375, 860.9125 |
| Rhode Island, State of | RI | WNCX326 | 860.3125 |
| Charleston, County of | SC | WNVH447 | 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9375 |
| Charleston, County of | SC | WPRR560 | 860.4625 |
| Clemson, City of | SC | WPKU649 | 860.7375 |
| Greenville, County of | SC | WPKD619 | 860.7125 |
| Greenwood, County of | SC | WPOX642 | 857.7375 |
| South Carolina State Ports Authority | SC | WPLU704 | 860.7125 |
| South Carolina, State of | SC | WPWM262 | 860.9875 |
| Spartanburg, County of | SC | WPGR361 | 860.4625, 860.9375 |
| Spartanburg, County of | SC | WPKZ275 | 860.2125 |
| Spartanburg, County of | SC | WPLZ536 | 860.2375, 860.2625 |
| Athens Utilities Board | TN | WQDM490 | 857.7375 |
| Bartlett, City of | TN | WNVF991 | 860.7375 |
| Clarksville, City of | TN | WQCL650 | 860.2375 |
| Jackson Energy Authority | TN | WQBJ748 | 860.7375 |
| Jackson Energy Authority | TN | WQBJ748 | 860.7375 |
| Jackson, City of | TN | WPEU965 | 860.2625, 860.7625 |
| Jackson, City of | TN | WQBB501 | 860.9875 |
| Memphis Shelby County Airport Authority | TN | WPUQ392 | 860.2625 |
| Memphis, City of | TN | WPAB818 | 860.3375, 860.3875 |
| Tennessee, State of | TN | WPKH401 | 860.9375 |
| Tennessee, State of | TN | WPZB947 | 858.4875 |
| Tennessee, State of | TN | WQBY860 | 857.9875, 860.2375 |
| Abilene, City of | TX | WPFQ263 | 860.4375, 860.9625 |
| Anderson County, Texas | TX | WPYA801 | 860.2375, 860.9875 |
| Austin, City of | TX | WNBZ704 | 860.2625, 860.4375 |
| Austin, City of | TX | WPYE613 | 860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4375 |
| Austin, City of | TX | WPYU318 | 860.4375 |
| City Public Service | TX | WNLI313 | 860.2875, 860.3375 |
| Dallas, City of | TX | WNBG573 | 860.7375, 860.9875 |
| Harris, County of | TX | WNBZ674 | 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125 |
| Harris, County of | TX | WPPF214 | 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125 |
| Harris, County of | TX | WQBM285 | 860.7125 |
| Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation) | TX | KNDH570 | 860.2875, 860.3125 |

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of December 31, 2007

| Licensee | ST | Call Sign | Frequencies |
|---|----|-----------|--|
| Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation) | TX | WPNW558 | 860.7375 |
| Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County | TX | KRX666 | 860.3875 |
| Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County | TX | WPTD745 | 860.3875 |
| Missouri City | TX | WNAS493 | 860.9625 |
| Texas Tech University | TX | KNNJ876 | 860.9625 |
| Travis County Emergency Service Dist #9 | TX | KSP328 | 860.9375 |
| Travis, County of | TX | WPYE612 | 860.2125, 860.2625 |
| Travis, County of | TX | WPZR511 | 860.4375 |
| Wichita Falls, City of | TX | WQAW913 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| League City, City of | TX | WNNL329 | 860.9875 |
| Murray, City of | UT | WPSK554 | 860.4375 |
| Murray, City of | UT | WPWH838 | 860.4375 |
| Murray, City of | UT | WPXK838 | 860.4375 |
| Salt Lake City, City of | UT | KNJU695 | 860.7625, 860.9625 |
| Salt Lake Department of Airports | UT | WNYR765 | 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875 |
| Salt Lake Department of Airports | UT | WQBI350 | n/a* |
| Salt Lake Department of Airports | UT | WQBM266 | 860.2625 |
| Salt Lake, County of | UT | WPGJ689 | 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375 |
| Utah Communications Agency Network | UT | KNIV722 | 860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9625, 860.9875 |
| Utah Communications Agency Network | UT | WQCE706 | 860.7125 |
| Utah, County of | UT | WPZV887 | 860.2125, 860.9375 |
| Arlington, County of | VA | KNIQ704 | 860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375 |
| Fairfax, County of | VA | KNIH412 | 860.2625 |
| Fairfax, County of | VA | WNAJ365 | 860.2625 |
| Virginia Beach, City of | VA | WNAU439 | 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375 |
| Virginia Beach, City of | VA | WNSS359 | 860.4875, 860.7375 |
| Virginia, Commonwealth of (Department of Corrections) | VA | WPIZ624 | 860.4875 |
| Virginia, Commonwealth of (NVCC) | VA | WPRR746 | 860.4875 |
| Clark, County of | WA | WPJY899 | 860.9875 |
| Clark, County of | WA | WPLR403 | 860.9625 |
| Clark, County of | WA | WPLX749 | 860.7625, 860.9375 |
| King, County of | WA | WQBZ725 | 860.4625, 860.9625 |
| Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA | WA | KNNJ860 | n/a* |
| Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA | WA | KNNJ862 | 860.2375 |
| Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA | WA | KNNJ865 | 860.2625 |
| Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA | WA | KNNJ866 | 860.2625 |
| Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA | WA | KNNJ867 | 860.4375 |
| Valley Communications Center | WA | WQBD600 | 860.2625, 860.7125 |
| East Troy, Town of | WI | WNMD420 | 860.4375 |
| Oregon Schools | WI | WPMV532 | 860.8875 |
| Ozaukee, County of | WI | WNWS961 | 860.7125, 860.7625 |
| Sheboygan, County of | WI | WPTS436 | 860.2625 |
| Watertown Water, City of | WI | WPF727 | 860.2375 |
| Wisconsin, University of | WI | WPJH396 | 860.7875 |
| Morgan, County of | WV | WPSD704 | 860.2125 |
| Morgan, County of | WV | WPTA421 | 860.2125 |
| Morgan, County of | WV | WPTA470 | 860.2125 |

* Licensee listed a Call Sign on their Expansion Band Election Form that does not have any frequencies within the Expansion Band located at 860-861 MHz (857.5-858.5 MHz in the Southeastern U.S, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 858-858.5 MHz).

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2007

| Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007 | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Wave | Channels 1-120 | Public Safety Expansion Band | NPSPAC Band | SE-ESMR ESMR Band | Total |
| | | Number of Call Signs | | | | | |
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | | 802 | 340 | 1840 | 0 | 2982 |
| 6 | CA - North | 1 | 109 | 88 | 135 | 0 | 332 |
| 7 | Colorado | 1 | 27 | 14 | 226 | 0 | 267 |
| 8 | NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA) | 1 | 96 | 28 | 391 | 0 | 515 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 1 | 56 | 5 | 26 | 0 | 87 |
| 13 | Illinois | 1 | 40 | 12 | 140 | 0 | 192 |
| 14 | Indiana | 1 | 27 | 34 | 120 | 0 | 181 |
| 19 | ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT* | 1 | 81 | 24 | 122 | 0 | 227 |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 1 | 66 | 18 | 87 | 0 | 171 |
| 27 | Nevada | 1 | 63 | 20 | 38 | 0 | 121 |
| 28 | NJ, PA, DE | 1 | 67 | 28 | 197 | 0 | 292 |
| 35 | Oregon | 1 | 48 | 4 | 37 | 0 | 89 |
| 41 | Utah | 1 | 21 | 3 | 146 | 0 | 170 |
| 42 | Virginia | 1 | 52 | 23 | 46 | 0 | 121 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 1 | 13 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 25 |
| 54 | Chicago | 1 | 36 | 30 | 126 | 0 | 192 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | | 478 | 176 | 668 | 7 | 1329 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 2 | 39 | 44 | 94 | 0 | 177 |
| 12 | Idaho* | 2 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 15 | Iowa | 2 | 16 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 38 |
| 16 | Kansas | 2 | 33 | 6 | 209 | 0 | 248 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 2 | 16 | 14 | 16 | 0 | 46 |
| 22 | Minnesota* | 2 | 76 | 9 | 50 | 0 | 135 |
| 24 | Missouri | 2 | 37 | 11 | 19 | 0 | 67 |
| 25 | Montana* | 2 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 2 | 10 | 4 | 30 | 0 | 44 |
| 32 | North Dakota* | 2 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 2 | 25 | 3 | 32 | 0 | 60 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 2 | 43 | 30 | 56 | 7 | 136 |
| 40 | TX - Dallas | 2 | 38 | 21 | 41 | 0 | 100 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 2 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 11 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 10 |
| 49 | TX - Austin | 2 | 11 | 9 | 62 | 0 | 82 |
| 51 | TX - Houston | 2 | 41 | 5 | 36 | 0 | 82 |
| 52 | TX - Lubbock | 2 | 42 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 45 |
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | | 573 | 202 | 892 | 242 | 1909 |
| 1 | Alabama | 3 | 9 | 27 | 33 | 56 | 125 |
| 9 | Florida | 3 | 221 | 64 | 315 | 38 | 638 |
| 10 | Georgia | 3 | 49 | 28 | 75 | 75 | 227 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 2 | 79 | 15 | 60 | 5 | 159 |
| 23 | Mississippi | 3 | 22 | 15 | 21 | 49 | 107 |
| 31 | North Carolina | 3 | 67 | 27 | 220 | 7 | 321 |
| 37 | South Carolina | 3 | 37 | 24 | 158 | 12 | 231 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 2 | 66 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 78 |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2007

| Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of December 31, 2007 | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------|
| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | Wave | Channels 1-120 | Public Safety Expansion Band | NPSPAC Band | SE-ESMR ESMR Band | Total |
| | | Number of Call Signs | | | | | |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | | 905 | 310 | 1494 | 0 | 2709 |
| 2 | Alaska* | 4 | 23 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 29 |
| 3 | Arizona* | 4 | 75 | 21 | 96 | 0 | 192 |
| 5 | CA - South* | 4 | 139 | 102 | 354 | 0 | 595 |
| 21 | Michigan* | 4 | 62 | 2 | 280 | 0 | 344 |
| 29 | New Mexico* | 4 | 25 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 37 |
| 30 | NY - Albany* | 4 | 95 | 64 | 187 | 0 | 346 |
| 33 | Ohio* | 4 | 109 | 38 | 134 | 0 | 281 |
| 36 | Pennsylvania* | 4 | 12 | 15 | 212 | 0 | 239 |
| 43 | Washington* | 4 | 155 | 20 | 144 | 0 | 319 |
| 50 | TX - El Paso* | 4 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 17 |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio* | 4 | 16 | 16 | 28 | 0 | 60 |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago* | 4 | 8 | 5 | 21 | 0 | 34 |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo* | 4 | 176 | 15 | 25 | 0 | 216 |
| 61 | Gulf of Mexico | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 62 | Marianas | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | Guam | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total for Waves 1-4 | | | 2758 | 1028 | 4894 | 249 | 8929 |

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of December 31, 2007

| Frequency Proposal Reports for Waves 1-4, as of December 31, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|--------|----------|--------|
| Status | Wave 1 | | | Wave 2 | | | | Wave 3 | | | | Wave 4 | | |
| | 1-120 | Exp Band | NPSPAC | 1-120 | SE-ESMR | Exp Band | NPSPAC | 1-120 | SE-ESMR | Exp Band | NPSPAC | 1-120 | Exp Band | NPSPAC |
| FPR Sent | 93.5% | 96.5% | 86.7% | 94.1% | 100.0% | 98.5% | 90.6% | 79.5% | 100.0% | 97.2% | 95.2% | 15.1% | 48.7% | 4.5% |
| Under Prior Contract | 3.5% | 1.2% | 0.0% | 1.8% | 0.0% | 0.7% | 0.0% | 11.0% | 0.0% | 0.4% | 0.0% | 5.3% | 1.0% | 0.0% |
| Affected by Border Zone | 2.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 4.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 78.5% | 50.3% | 95.5% |
| EA/ESMR Related Call Signs | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 9.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Recent grants, revised or pending proposals | 0.2% | 2.2% | 13.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.7% | 9.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.4% | 4.8% | 1.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| FPRs in process (12/31/2007) | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Notes:

- * Public Safety Region (PSR) includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.
- a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs excludes call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit. Data for Expansion Band call signs excludes call signs under prior contract and call signs for which licensees have elected not to reconfigure.
- b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- c. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Expansion Band data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location in the Expansion Band, as the Expansion Band may be defined in inside and outside the Southeast ESMR region, with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. NPSPAC data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 866-869 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Southeast ESMR Band data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 858.5-862 MHz range within the Southeast ESMR region and with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Call signs with locations in multiple PSRs are counted for each PSR. Call signs are counted within every PSR for which they have a fixed primary location.
- d. Data has been adjusted to reflect the change in the band plan in the Atlanta area pursuant to the FCC's October 5, 2005 Memorandum Opinion and Order.
- e. Of the outstanding unsent NPSPAC FPRs represented in this table for Waves 1-3, the majority are STA call signs. The TA does not generally send FPRs for STA call signs unless requested by the licensee for the purposes of planning funding or contract negotiation.

Appendix 6
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | 1-5 Days from Receipt | 6-10 Days from Receipt | 11-15 Days from Receipt | 16-20 Days from Receipt | 21 Days or More from Receipt | Total |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | | Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs) | | | | | |
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 292 | 48 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 353 |
| | Multiregion | 78 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| | PSR TBD (b) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Northern California | 30 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 7 | Colorado | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 8 | Metropolitan NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT) | 28 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 13 | Illinois | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 14 | Indiana | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 19 | New England | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| 27 | Nevada | 18 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 28 | Eastern Pennsylvania | 23 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 35 | Oregon | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 41 | Utah | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 42 | Virginia | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 54 | Southern Lake Michigan | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 168 | 35 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 206 |
| | Multiregion | 53 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 70 |
| | PSR TBD (b) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 12 | Idaho (a) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 15 | Iowa | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 16 | Kansas | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 22 | Minnesota | 22 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 24 | Missouri | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 25 | Montana | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 32 | North Dakota (a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 40 | Texas (Central & Northeast) | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | Texas - Central (Austin Area) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 51 | Texas - East (Houston Area) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 52 | Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |

Appendix 6
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | 1-5 Days from Receipt | 6-10 Days from Receipt | 11-15 Days from Receipt | 16-20 Days from Receipt | 21 Days or More from Receipt | Total |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | | Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs) | | | | | |
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | 218 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 240 |
| | Multiregion | 68 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| | PSR TBD (b) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Alabama | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 9 | Florida | 49 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| 10 | Georgia | 32 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 23 | Mississippi | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 31 | North Carolina | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| 37 | South Carolina | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 102 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 106 |
| | Multiregion | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| | PSR TBD (b) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Alaska | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 3 | Arizona (a) | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 5 | CA - South (a) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 10 | Georgia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 21 | Michigan (a) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 29 | New Mexico (a) | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 30 | Eastern Upstate NY (a) | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 33 | Ohio (a) | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 36 | Pennsylvania (a) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 43 | Washington (a) | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 50 | TX - El Paso (a) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio (a) | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago (a) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo (a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 63 | Guam | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Totals for Waves 1-4 | | 780 | 104 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 905 |

Notes:

- (a) Public Safety Region (PSR) includes international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.
(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

Appendix 7
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | 1-5 Days from Receipt | 6-10 Days from Receipt | 11-15 Days from Receipt | 16-20 Days from Receipt | 21 Days or More from Receipt | Total |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | | Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs) | | | | | |
| Wave 1 | Subtotal | 206 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 236 |
| | Multiregion | 24 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| | PSR TBD (b) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 6 | Northern California | 24 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 27 |
| 7 | Colorado | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 8 | Metropolitan NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT) | 33 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| 11 | Hawaii | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 13 | Illinois | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 14 | Indiana | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 19 | New England | 30 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 20 | MD; DC; VA - Northern | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 27 | Nevada | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 28 | Eastern Pennsylvania | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 35 | Oregon | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 41 | Utah | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 42 | Virginia | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 45 | Wisconsin | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 54 | Southern Lake Michigan | 24 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 |
| Wave 2 | Subtotal | 128 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 142 |
| | Multiregion | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| | PSR TBD (b) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | Arkansas | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 12 | Idaho (a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Iowa | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 16 | Kansas | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 17 | Kentucky | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 22 | Minnesota | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 24 | Missouri | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 25 | Montana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | Nebraska | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 32 | North Dakota (a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | Oklahoma | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 38 | South Dakota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | Tennessee | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 40 | Texas (Central & Northeast) | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 44 | West Virginia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 46 | Wyoming | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | Texas - Central (Austin Area) | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 51 | Texas - East (Houston Area) | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 52 | Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wave 3 | Subtotal | 67 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 |
| | Multiregion | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | PSR TBD (b) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 1 | Alabama | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 9 | Florida | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| 10 | Georgia | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 23 | Mississippi | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 31 | North Carolina | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 37 | South Carolina | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 47 | Puerto Rico | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 48 | US Virgin Islands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix 7
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of December 31, 2007

| Public Safety Region (PSR) | PSR Name | 1-5 Days from Receipt | 6-10 Days from Receipt | 11-15 Days from Receipt | 16-20 Days from Receipt | 21 Days or More from Receipt | Total |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | | Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs) | | | | | |
| Wave 4 | Subtotal | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| | Multiregion | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| | PSR TBD (b) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Alaska | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | Arizona (a) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | CA - South (a) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 18 | Louisiana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Michigan (a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | New Mexico (a) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 30 | Eastern Upstate NY (a) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 33 | Ohio (a) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 36 | Pennsylvania (a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 43 | Washington (a) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 50 | TX - El Paso (a) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 53 | TX - San Antonio (a) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 54 | MI portion of Chicago (a) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 55 | New York - Buffalo (a) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals for Waves 1-4 | | 417 | 47 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 472 |

Notes:

- (a) Public Safety Region (PSR) includes international border area. Data may change depending upon border area frequency plans.
(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| Stage 1 Completed FRAs* | | |
|--|---|---------------|
| Deal Name | IA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| Wave 1 | | |
| 1 Cunningham, Barbara | 5/3/06 | |
| 2 Baltimore Gas & Electric Company | 1/26/07 | |
| 3 Thelen Sand & Gravel Inc | 7/10/07 | |
| 4 New York Communications Company Inc. | 6/1/07 | |
| 5 PG & E (Re-Band) | 5/15/07 | |
| 6 Commonwealth Repeater Services, Inc. | 7/12/06 | |
| 7 Henderson, Henry | 6/26/06 | |
| 8 Vico Construction Company | 4/17/06 | |
| 9 Cohen, Eliahu | 6/11/07 | |
| 10 Anderson, Glen | 5/18/07 | |
| 11 Smith, Alton | 5/18/06 | |
| 12 Kuypers, John | 2/27/06 | |
| 13 Reilly, Phillip | 6/5/07 | |
| 14 Stoller Construction | 2/5/07 | |
| 15 Wulf, Thomas | 7/10/06 | |
| 16 Chapin, Barbara A. | 5/25/06 | |
| 17 Estate of Rolland Gonsalves | 6/30/06 | |
| 18 Ferma Corp | 9/20/06 | |
| 19 Lodi Unified School District | 12/22/05 | |
| 20 Dick Anderson and Sons, Inc | 7/5/06 | |
| 21 Granite Contruction Company | 9/8/06 | |
| 22 Vectren Corporation/ Indiana Gas Co. Inc. | 5/31/07 | |
| 23 Cupertino Union School District | 6/6/06 | |
| 24 York Cable Televison | 10/24/07 | |
| 25 Desilva Gates Const. | 7/2/07 | |
| 26 E&J Gallo Winery | 8/14/06 | |
| 27 Billiou Ranch Inc. | 2/7/06 | |
| 28 841 Bishop, LLC | 7/6/06 | |
| 29 Marco Polo Rebanding | 4/27/06 | |
| 30 Ohio Valley Gas | 4/27/06 | |
| 31 Olde City Taxi Coach Association, Inc. | 4/30/07 | |
| 32 Resorts USA Inc. | 6/30/06 | |
| 33 NBC Telemundo License Company | 10/9/06 | |
| 34 Mid - State Mobile Radio Inc. | 12/8/06 | |
| 35 Parrot Ranch Company | 2/15/06 | |
| 36 Hartford Hospital | 1/19/07 | |
| 37 CSI Communications d/b/a Day Wireless Systems | 8/9/06 | |
| 38 Hampden Communication Corp | 12/12/06 | |
| 39 Mountaire Farms | 12/5/06 | |
| 40 Ihilani Rebanding | 10/20/06 | |
| 41 Binder Machinery Corporation | 4/27/06 | |
| 42 Adler, Philip | 2/1/07 | |
| 43 Underground Inc | 6/21/06 | |
| 44 Mammoth June Ski Resort, Inc. | 7/12/06 | |
| 45 Firstview Communications 2 | 1/27/06 | |
| 46 Triple C Communications | 12/6/06 | |
| 47 Levi Strauss & Co | 8/30/06 | |
| 48 Joseph J Albanese Inc | 2/15/07 | |
| 49 Browning Ferris Industries, Inc. 2005 | 6/12/07 | |
| 50 Total Networks Communications Inc | 8/3/06 | |
| 51 Nevada Ready Mix II | 2/27/07 | |
| 52 M S Concrete | 7/26/06 | |
| 53 Brenner, Jerry | 2/21/07 | |
| 54 Cure Brothers | 6/28/07 | |

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| | | |
|-----|---|----------|
| 55 | F & D Enterprises Inc. | 7/17/06 |
| 56 | Mirage Resorts Inc. | 3/26/07 |
| 57 | Boston Properties limited Partnership | 8/24/06 |
| 58 | XW LLC #2 (swap) | 3/21/07 |
| 59 | A Teichert & Son Contract | 8/1/06 |
| 60 | Ready Mixed Concrete Company | 6/26/07 |
| 61 | Bresnan Communications, LLC | 6/9/06 |
| 62 | Rocky Mountain Motorists Inc. | 7/24/06 |
| 63 | Coast Hotels and Casinos | 2/27/06 |
| 64 | Henry Nelch & Son Co | 7/28/06 |
| 65 | Gold Star FS INC | 4/18/06 |
| 66 | Sun Cab Inc dba Nellis Cab Company | 5/11/07 |
| 67 | Church of Jesus Christ of LDS | 8/1/06 |
| 68 | TKT INC | 11/2/06 |
| 69 | Fruit Belt Service Co. Inc | 8/30/06 |
| 70 | Academy Express | 7/10/07 |
| 71 | David Whiteside | 6/26/07 |
| 72 | Petry, Gay | 10/17/06 |
| 73 | Casino Queen INC | 7/10/06 |
| 74 | West County Transportation Agency | 6/19/06 |
| 75 | Emery, Connie R | 5/10/06 |
| 76 | Emery, Robert M | 6/6/06 |
| 77 | Steuben County 3 | 8/15/06 |
| 78 | VCF Inc. | 7/24/07 |
| 79 | Baker Rock Crushing Company | 11/27/06 |
| 80 | Brandenburg, James: Brandenburg, Donald | 6/6/06 |
| 81 | Wireless Connections LLC | 10/17/06 |
| 82 | Brooks, Janis | 12/1/06 |
| 83 | Cook Dupage Transportation | 8/21/06 |
| 84 | Comcast of Connecticut, Inc. | 11/27/06 |
| 85 | San Jose Unified School District | 7/27/06 |
| 86 | Duncan Gordon L | 11/21/06 |
| 87 | Las Vegas Paving | 7/6/06 |
| 88 | Sobota, Carolyn | 6/16/06 |
| 89 | PK Smith Limousine Co., Inc.2 | 6/8/06 |
| 90 | Ferrari, Julia (Plote) | 6/16/06 |
| 91 | Weleccki, Alan M | 12/28/06 |
| 92 | Rheins, Joy 2 | 5/23/07 |
| 93 | RCMH Car Company, Inc. 2 | 6/14/07 |
| 94 | Storer, Donald B. | 6/21/06 |
| 95 | Praire Group Inc. | 3/15/07 |
| 96 | Super Shuttle International 2 | 5/24/06 |
| 97 | Mathews Ferderick and Chas | 12/6/06 |
| 98 | O'Hare Midway Limousine Svcs, Inc. | 6/4/07 |
| 99 | Irving Materials | 7/28/06 |
| 100 | JTE Enterprises | 2/21/07 |
| 101 | ISG Indiana Harbor Inc. | 5/16/06 |
| 102 | Perdue Farms Inc. | 6/23/06 |
| 103 | K5 Contruction | 1/24/07 |
| 104 | K & A Mutual Associates Inc. | 1/23/07 |
| 105 | London Towncars Inc | 8/8/06 |
| 106 | Lowery, Nelda | 2/15/06 |
| 107 | New Star Fresh Foods LLC | 10/9/06 |
| 108 | Access Ag, Inc. | 5/24/06 |
| 109 | Blankenbechler, Steve | 8/1/06 |
| 110 | Fowlkes, Edddie | 8/31/06 |
| 111 | Wireless Market Source 2 | 1/26/06 |

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| | | |
|-----|---|----------|
| 112 | Transit Mix Concrete Co | 1/24/06 |
| 113 | Boyar, Adam | 4/25/06 |
| 114 | Sunset Scavenger Corp | 4/27/06 |
| 115 | Shuluk, Greg | 8/28/06 |
| 116 | Mc Clatchy Newspaper Inc. | 1/19/07 |
| 117 | Lely, Gerald | 7/12/06 |
| 118 | Jung, Mark | 9/11/06 |
| 119 | Crader, R David | 4/17/06 |
| 120 | Central Bucks School District | 4/13/07 |
| 121 | Mary Ellen Brewer (Lundy) | 2/26/07 |
| 122 | Patel, Shailesh N #3 (swap) | 6/14/06 |
| 123 | Wright Jr, Gary L | 10/23/06 |
| 124 | Yamaoka Bros Inc | 5/25/06 |
| 125 | Wilbur Ellis Company DBA Helm Fertilizers | 7/17/06 |
| 126 | Community Repeater Guidance CA | 8/1/06 |
| 127 | Communications Systems Specialist, Inc. | 6/9/06 |
| 128 | Cumberland, County of | 6/5/07 |
| 129 | Radisson Hotel and Conference Center | 7/26/06 |
| 130 | Gallagher Asphalt # 2 | 5/23/06 |
| 131 | Jeffersonville, City of | 8/4/06 |
| 132 | Black, Daniel | 6/1/06 |
| 133 | Colorado, State of (L-120) | 5/30/07 |
| 134 | County of Ocean New Jersey | 3/15/07 |
| 135 | R. W. Miller & Sons Inc | 2/8/07 |
| 136 | Dole Fresh Fruit | 1/25/07 |
| 137 | Willard Agri Svc | 1/19/07 |
| 138 | Montgomery Shuttle | 4/11/07 |
| 139 | Rock County, WI | 11/13/06 |
| 140 | Steg, Bernard (2) | 5/10/06 |
| 141 | Stock, Marcia | 2/15/06 |
| 142 | Union, County of | 10/25/07 |
| 143 | Secom Communications 2 | 7/10/06 |
| 144 | Whitley County Consolidated Schools | 8/16/06 |
| 145 | High Peak Communications, LLC | 4/21/06 |
| 146 | Central Jersey Irrigation | 7/24/06 |
| 147 | Conley, George | 11/2/06 |
| 148 | Clifford Broman & Sons Trucking Inc | 2/16/06 |
| 149 | Wheatridge, City of | 8/15/06 |
| 150 | Ruffin Gaming LLC | 4/23/06 |
| 151 | Denver Public Schools | 9/6/06 |
| 152 | Hernandez, David A | 6/12/07 |
| 153 | Chaney Enterprises (2) | 3/8/07 |
| 154 | Motorola (2) | 4/20/07 |
| 155 | Emergency Radio Services Inc. 2 | 1/29/07 |
| 156 | Shearer Communications | 8/15/06 |
| 157 | Tilden, Robert | 8/9/06 |
| 158 | Prentiss Properties (rebanding) | 7/13/06 |
| 159 | Espinoza, Raul | 4/27/06 |
| 160 | Adams, Don Jr. | 5/17/07 |
| 161 | Triangle Wireless Inc | 7/12/06 |
| 162 | Oregon, State of | 12/22/05 |
| 163 | Detweiler, Scott R. | 5/15/06 |
| 164 | Dorler Communications Company | 11/1/06 |
| 165 | Donald, C. Alger | 8/15/06 |
| 166 | Aggregate Inc. | 1/17/07 |
| 167 | Easton, Town of | 7/28/06 |
| 168 | First Student, Inc. | 4/19/07 |

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| | | |
|-----|---|----------|
| 169 | Glastonbury Police Department | 3/15/07 |
| 170 | Albany, City of | 5/3/06 |
| 171 | Horizon Mobile Communications Inc. | 3/29/07 |
| 172 | RA Comm Inc | 1/10/06 |
| 173 | Meriden, City of | 3/21/07 |
| 174 | Mora, Albert | 7/2/07 |
| 175 | New Haven, City of | 1/25/07 |
| 176 | Salisbury, Town of | 5/9/06 |
| 177 | Insight Communications Midwest LLC | 2/26/07 |
| 178 | Sprague, Town of | 2/27/07 |
| 179 | Upper Merion, Township of | 9/8/06 |
| 180 | Waterbury, City of | 10/24/06 |
| 181 | William A. Hazel | 2/2/07 |
| 182 | Windham, Town of | 11/20/06 |
| 183 | WP Company LLC | 3/2/07 |
| 184 | Radon - Norcom | 3/15/07 |
| 185 | Lyon, Corey M | 8/4/06 |
| 186 | Jokers, Lloyd L | 2/7/06 |
| 187 | Taylor, Eugene J. | 4/21/06 |
| 188 | Methvin, Madeline | 9/5/06 |
| 189 | Clean Water Services | 7/21/06 |
| 190 | Denver Radio Electronics & Technology | 7/19/06 |
| 191 | Sangamon, County of (Courthouse) | 8/4/06 |
| 192 | Hawaii, State of | 3/16/07 |
| 193 | Caterpillar | 2/15/07 |
| 194 | Townsend Booth | 5/23/07 |
| 195 | RSK World, Inc. Rebanding | 7/17/07 |
| 196 | Frontier Radio | 2/9/07 |
| 197 | John E Sola Estate | 7/25/07 |
| 198 | Wecom Inc. | 12/6/06 |
| 199 | Sonoma County, CA | 3/1/07 |
| 200 | Soundview Spectrum, LLC | 3/15/07 |
| 201 | ANAT, LLC Rebanding | 7/23/07 |
| 202 | Orosz, Joseph Rebanding | 6/11/07 |
| 203 | G & G Communications Inc. Rebanding | 11/27/06 |
| 204 | Excalibur Hotel Casino | 8/28/06 |
| 205 | Mandalay Corp | 8/3/06 |
| 206 | Crane, Bert | 10/16/06 |
| 207 | Pennington, Agnes | 5/15/07 |
| 208 | Rhode Island, State of | 3/20/07 |
| 209 | Trenton, City of | 4/6/07 |
| 210 | Egg Harbor, Township of | 9/7/07 |
| 211 | Morgan, County of (WV) | 11/10/06 |
| 212 | Atlantic, County of | 10/3/07 |
| 213 | Montgomery, County of 2005 | 10/24/07 |
| 214 | Illinois Power Company | 7/12/07 |
| 215 | Tooele, County Of | 5/9/06 |
| 216 | The Boeing Company | 8/30/06 |
| 217 | Illinois, State of | 10/12/06 |
| 218 | Herby, Clinton | 4/17/06 |
| 219 | Motient (Deal I - L120) | 4/17/07 |
| 220 | Stamford Fire Department | 10/6/06 |
| 221 | Aluminium Company of America 1 | 7/28/06 |
| 222 | Celco SND Comm Inc | 7/7/06 |
| 223 | KLL Wireless, INC | 1/29/07 |
| 224 | State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (2) | 9/26/06 |
| 225 | Lectro Communications Inc. | 8/9/06 |

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------|------------|
| 226 | Lockheed Martin Corporation | 3/6/07 | |
| 227 | Waste Management Holdings Inc. (2) | 9/6/06 | |
| 228 | Metro Electronics | 3/16/07 | |
| 229 | Mobile Radio of Kokomo Inc. | 10/5/06 | |
| 230 | PCS Communications | 9/19/07 | |
| 231 | Ragan Communications, Inc #3 (swap) | 6/22/07 | |
| 232 | Viking Land Mobile Communications, Inc. (2) | 5/9/06 | |
| 233 | Deere & Company | 11/2/06 | |
| 234 | Frederick County, MD | 2/9/07 | |
| 235 | Plantings By the Sea | 4/25/06 | |
| 236 | Hardy Plumbing , Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc | 8/16/06 | |
| 237 | Gerawan Farming (Re-band) | 3/27/07 | |
| 238 | CU Radio Enterprises, Inc. | 8/8/06 | |
| 239 | Globeground North America LLC | 11/20/06 | |
| 240 | Hudson General -NY | 5/24/07 | |
| 241 | Slater, Stuart R | 12/23/05 | |
| 242 | Veach, Dorothy | 8/30/06 | |
| 243 | Aeronautical Radio - NJ | 10/6/06 | |
| 244 | Connecticut State of , CCSU | 1/8/07 | |
| 245 | Salvation Army Harrisburg | 7/17/06 | |
| 246 | Bethlehem, City of | 6/27/07 | |
| 247 | Technology Associates, LLC | 8/29/06 | |
| 248 | Frederick County, MD Board of Education | 2/8/07 | |
| 249 | Sangamon, County of (Mobile Data) | 9/8/06 | |
| 250 | Taylor, Dorothy L | 4/27/06 | |
| 251 | Chicago, City of - Streets & Sanitation | 6/14/06 | |
| 252 | Myers, Natalie | 5/18/06 | |
| 253 | State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (4) | 9/27/06 | |
| 254 | Brock, Harold: Samuel: Dorothy (Nevada) | 7/6/06 | |
| 255 | Shledon Gwyneth A # 4 (swap) | 7/20/06 | |
| 256 | Durham School services - PHI, PA | 5/1/07 | |
| 257 | Durham Scool Services - North CA | 5/1/07 | |
| 258 | Durham School services - CHI, IL | 5/1/07 | |
| 259 | Durham School Services - Decatur, IL | 5/23/07 | |
| 260 | Wille Brother Company | 1/25/07 | |
| Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1 | | | 260 |
| Wave 2 | | | |
| 1 | Union Carbide Corp | 12/8/06 | |
| 2 | Fischer, Craig D | 4/21/06 | |
| 3 | Frontier El Dorado Refining | 6/1/06 | |
| 4 | TFM Comm Inc. | 7/13/06 | |
| 5 | Capital Aggregates Inc | 10/23/07 | |
| 6 | Gateway Wireless Services 3 | 10/4/06 | |
| 7 | Butler, Edward | 7/6/06 | |
| 8 | Curtis Well Servicing | 1/10/07 | |
| 9 | JRJ Paving | 5/24/07 | |
| 10 | Champion Communications Services | 3/14/06 | |
| 11 | J Lee Milligan | 6/6/06 | |
| 12 | Balis, Gregory K | 6/28/06 | |
| 13 | Main Street Partners | 6/7/07 | |
| 14 | Malavia, Jim | 5/9/06 | |
| 15 | Telebeep Inc. | 6/1/06 | |
| 16 | Best D & D | 5/18/06 | |
| 17 | Nebco Inc. | 2/27/06 | |
| 18 | Herby, John | 7/27/06 | |
| 19 | Lehman Roberts | 9/15/06 | |
| 20 | Airport Fast Park | 7/23/07 | |

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| | | |
|----|--|----------|
| 21 | American Red Cross | 12/1/06 |
| 22 | Brush, Peter | 2/1/07 |
| 23 | Graybill Electronics Inc. | 5/25/06 |
| 24 | Triple D Communications Inc | 4/27/06 |
| 25 | W A Ellis Construction Company | 4/9/07 |
| 26 | W G Block Co | 1/17/07 |
| 27 | Seba Bros Farms Inc | 4/27/06 |
| 28 | Griffin, Bryan | 1/3/07 |
| 29 | Electronic Specialties, Inc | 3/8/06 |
| 30 | Fred Weber Inc. | 7/19/06 |
| 31 | Hertz Rent A Car | 7/2/07 |
| 32 | Gerbus Bros Contruction Co | 2/28/07 |
| 33 | West Central Communications 2 | 7/12/06 |
| 34 | Snyder, Kenneth C. | 2/8/07 |
| 35 | Scott Strouts DBA AMC Taxi | 7/5/06 |
| 36 | Maplewood, City of | 1/3/06 |
| 37 | Boyar, Chris | 6/9/06 |
| 38 | Schmidtke, Dave | 11/1/06 |
| 39 | Roadrunner Transportation | 6/6/06 |
| 40 | Michael Howell | 10/12/06 |
| 41 | Douglas E Morris Profit Sharing Trust | 6/29/06 |
| 42 | Green Isle Countryside Farms | 3/28/07 |
| 43 | Gladstone, City of | 8/24/07 |
| 44 | Corey Seppmann Well Drilling | 1/17/07 |
| 45 | City of Derby | 11/16/07 |
| 46 | Davis Jr, Clifton | 8/15/06 |
| 47 | City of Dakota Dunes | 8/28/06 |
| 48 | Bismark, City of | 12/27/06 |
| 49 | Allied Services LLC | 7/26/06 |
| 50 | Comm Center /Phoenix Distributing | 4/3/07 |
| 51 | Xcel Energy Services Inc | 1/18/07 |
| 52 | John Knox Village | 11/10/06 |
| 53 | Battles Communications 2 | 5/15/06 |
| 54 | Meek, Larry (Rebanding) | 10/23/07 |
| 55 | Houston 900 Network | 6/23/06 |
| 56 | Watonwan Farm Service | 9/27/06 |
| 57 | Smith, Eleanor (2) | 5/18/06 |
| 58 | Wenner Farms | 3/14/07 |
| 59 | Mattson Well Company | 2/19/07 |
| 60 | Berry Hill, City of | 5/14/07 |
| 61 | Bridgeport Tank Trucks | 8/15/07 |
| 62 | Williamstown, City of | 8/7/06 |
| 63 | Metro Communications LLC | 4/27/06 |
| 64 | Holes Inc | 2/27/07 |
| 65 | Hewlett Packard Company Inc. | 5/5/06 |
| 66 | Intermountain Communications of Southern Idaho Inc | 5/2/07 |
| 67 | Cemstone Products Company | 2/7/07 |
| 68 | Ebenhyoh, Daniel | 2/26/07 |
| 69 | Molitor Farms Inc | 8/9/06 |
| 70 | AVR Inc. | 10/6/06 |
| 71 | Minnesota, State of | 5/15/06 |
| 72 | Midwest City | 11/2/06 |
| 73 | Action Radio & Communications INC | 10/23/06 |
| 74 | Lyondell Citgo | 3/27/06 |
| 75 | Minnesota Mobile Telephone | 8/24/06 |
| 76 | Crescent, The | 2/26/07 |
| 77 | Zenk, John | 1/10/06 |

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| | | |
|-----|---|----------|
| 78 | Johnson, Christian | 3/14/06 |
| 79 | Anchorage, City of | 2/5/07 |
| 80 | Myers, L E | 6/2/06 |
| 81 | Steier, Tim | 4/21/06 |
| 82 | Bliss, Robert D | 10/10/07 |
| 83 | Ames Construction Inc. | 8/15/06 |
| 84 | Stier Transportation Services Inc. | 8/18/06 |
| 85 | Co Op Agriculture Center | 8/24/06 |
| 86 | Herby, Martha A | 8/16/06 |
| 87 | Raabe, Richard | 11/8/06 |
| 88 | Charleston Housing | 3/22/07 |
| 89 | First Student, Inc. | 4/17/06 |
| 90 | County Douglas - KS | 1/3/07 |
| 91 | Alperowitz, Francine | 7/28/06 |
| 92 | Hopkins, City of | 10/31/06 |
| 93 | Radioland Inc. | 5/15/06 |
| 94 | Septran, Inc. | 12/12/07 |
| 95 | McMahon, Eric | 4/17/06 |
| 96 | Estate of Joseph C Thames | 7/21/06 |
| 97 | SP100 South 5th Street LLC & SP150 South Street | 3/5/07 |
| 98 | Leonardt, Bruno | 8/1/06 |
| 99 | Richardson, TX City of | 10/30/06 |
| 100 | Boulder AG | 3/20/07 |
| 101 | Gem State | 2/5/07 |
| 102 | Idaho Supreme Potatoes | 2/3/06 |
| 103 | Raymer, Joe R | 5/17/07 |
| 104 | Wood Communications 2 | 6/28/06 |
| 105 | Mid Tennessee third Mobile | 5/9/06 |
| 106 | Aeronautical Radio Inc | 10/27/06 |
| 107 | Raft River Rural Electric Corp | 1/5/06 |
| 108 | Lees Summit Board of Education | 12/6/05 |
| 109 | Alpha Wireless Communications Inc. | 3/13/07 |
| 110 | Bass Enterprises Construction Company | 7/23/07 |
| 111 | Kenton County Airport Board | 6/19/06 |
| 112 | Lexington Fayette Urban County Government | 6/25/07 |
| 113 | C S Leasing | 11/27/06 |
| 114 | Central Communications & Electronics | 6/11/07 |
| 115 | Young, Chris: Paschall, Larry A | 3/15/07 |
| 116 | Electronic Engineering Co. 3 | 2/26/07 |
| 117 | Dallas Count, TX | 11/27/06 |
| 118 | Eastman Chemical Company | 12/1/06 |
| 119 | Epperson, Jimmy A. | 5/25/06 |
| 120 | JP Jenkins, Inc. | 3/28/07 |
| 121 | Aldredge, RW:Kay, AP:Weishuhn G | 7/2/07 |
| 122 | Atofina Petrochemicals Inc | 4/9/07 |
| 123 | Challenger Construction INC. | 6/11/07 |
| 124 | Occidental Permian LTD | 2/26/07 |
| 125 | G & D Communications | 4/19/07 |
| 126 | SR Communications Associates | 3/6/07 |
| 127 | Miller, Cleo | 8/24/06 |
| 128 | Melba School District 136 | 6/6/06 |
| 129 | Chevron Phillips Chemical Co LP | 5/17/07 |
| 130 | North Kansas City, City of (School District) | 7/13/06 |
| 131 | Bellar Communications Co | 8/14/06 |
| 132 | Ford Communications | 5/24/07 |
| 133 | Leavenworth, County of, KS | 6/4/07 |
| 134 | MO ARK Communications Inc. | 4/11/07 |

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| | | | |
|---------------|---|----------|------------|
| 135 | Mustang, City of, OK | 12/15/06 | |
| 136 | Peacock, John F | 8/24/07 | |
| 137 | Airtel Wireless, LLC | 8/28/06 | |
| 138 | Ashland Construction Communications | 7/6/06 | |
| 139 | Athens Utilities Board, TN | 11/17/06 | |
| 140 | Baptist Medical System | 10/17/07 | |
| 141 | C & W Comm (Steve Gill) | 11/9/06 | |
| 142 | Chattanooga SMR Inc | 1/3/07 | |
| 143 | Craighead, County of AR | 8/16/06 | |
| 144 | Crittenden County, AR | 11/1/06 | |
| 145 | Callahan Tower Joint Venture | 1/5/07 | |
| 146 | Grant County, AR | 3/5/07 | |
| 147 | Hearthstone Enterprises Inc | 10/3/06 | |
| 148 | Hillard, Harold 2 | 10/17/07 | |
| 149 | Holsum Bakers Inc DBA Caprock Communications | 6/9/06 | |
| 150 | Northwest Airlines | 3/15/07 | |
| 151 | Pine Bluff, City of, AR | 6/16/06 | |
| 152 | Stinnett Heater Treater Repairs | 5/24/06 | |
| 153 | Sebastian, County of, AR | 4/13/07 | |
| 154 | Hennepin County | 3/15/07 | |
| 155 | Minneapolis, City of | 6/19/06 | |
| 156 | Hopkins, Terry | 6/26/06 | |
| 157 | Minnesota, State of - Dept. of Corrections | 5/18/07 | |
| 158 | 21st Century Wireless (L120 Rebanding) | 8/9/06 | |
| 159 | Cox Communications - Wichita, KS | 5/15/06 | |
| 160 | A Communications LLC | 6/12/07 | |
| 161 | Laidlaw (Wave 2 and 4) | 10/18/07 | |
| 162 | Sater, Gary N. | 2/12/07 | |
| 163 | Aeronautical Radio - MS | 11/29/06 | |
| 164 | Durham School Services - MO | 5/1/07 | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2 | | 164 |
| Wave 3 | | | |
| 1 | Time Warner Entertainment-Advance/Nethouse Pa | 3/27/06 | |
| 2 | Mears Destination Services | 8/15/06 | |
| 3 | Curry and Company Plumbing | 5/10/06 | |
| 4 | Car Comm / End of Line | 12/14/07 | |
| 5 | BJM and Associated Inc | 10/17/06 | |
| 6 | Cargill Juice North America, Inc. | 1/3/06 | |
| 7 | Ben Hill Griffin | 7/11/06 | |
| 8 | Coastal SMR/ARC | 11/16/07 | |
| 9 | North Communications of PR | 5/10/06 | |
| 10 | Communications Leasing Corp | 4/3/07 | |
| 11 | Action Community Center | 11/27/06 | |
| 12 | AFLAC | 9/15/06 | |
| 13 | Alpharetta, City of, GA | 2/15/07 | |
| 14 | Monroe - Southern | 2/22/07 | |
| 15 | Amoco Oil Company | 9/13/07 | |
| 16 | Anderson, City Of, SC | 3/2/07 | |
| 17 | ARC TRANSIT INC | 12/15/06 | |
| 18 | Ashford, City of, AL | 8/30/06 | |
| 19 | Atlanta, City of, GA | 9/18/07 | |
| 20 | BCW INC | 9/18/07 | |
| 21 | Boulware, Winston | 6/20/06 | |
| 22 | BRUNS & MC KENNA 2 INC | 7/12/07 | |
| 23 | Buddys Phone Patching Inc. | 9/20/06 | |
| 24 | Bushnell, City of FL / Bushnell Utility Company Inc | 9/25/07 | |
| 25 | Byrd, Alan P | 8/5/06 | |

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| | | |
|----|---|----------|
| 26 | Bright House Networks, LLC | 7/19/06 |
| 27 | C & S Communications INC | 8/15/07 |
| 28 | Cameron, Parish Of, LA | 3/26/07 |
| 29 | Carroll County Water Authority, GA | 10/1/07 |
| 30 | Carroll, County Of, GA | 6/4/07 |
| 31 | Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority | 5/15/07 |
| 32 | Charlotte, City of, NC | 11/21/06 |
| 33 | Chatco Communications | 5/17/07 |
| 34 | Chattaway, Paul S | 7/25/07 |
| 35 | CNF Transportation Inc. | 12/8/06 |
| 36 | COLLINS, ROLAND | 10/3/07 |
| 37 | Columbus, City of, GA | 1/17/07 |
| 38 | COLUMBUS, CITY OF, MS | 7/23/07 |
| 39 | CONCRETE SUPPLY COMPANY | 7/16/07 |
| 40 | Consolidated Freightways Corporation | 6/1/07 |
| 41 | CORAL SPRINGS, CITY OF, FL | 8/6/07 |
| 42 | Decatur, City of, GA | 7/10/07 |
| 43 | Desoto, County of, FI | 3/28/07 |
| 44 | Everglades Communications Inc | 11/20/06 |
| 45 | Electronic Maintenance Company | 12/19/06 |
| 46 | Florida Hospital | 2/27/07 |
| 47 | FLOYD HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT INC DBA F | 1/17/07 |
| 48 | Forest Park, City Of, GA | 4/19/07 |
| 49 | Federal Express Corporation | 3/13/07 |
| 50 | GAINESVILLE, CITY OF, FL | 10/16/07 |
| 51 | Gainesville, City of, GA | 3/30/07 |
| 52 | Gaston, County of, NC | 2/21/07 |
| 53 | Gastonia, city of , NC | 8/15/06 |
| 54 | GLYNN, COUNTY OF, GA | 7/18/07 |
| 55 | Gunby Communications Inc. | 9/4/07 |
| 56 | GWINNETT, COUNTY OF, GA | 1/30/07 |
| 57 | Haralson, County Of, GA | 12/13/06 |
| 58 | HARDRIVES OF DELRAY INC | 4/6/07 |
| 59 | Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport Authority, MS | 12/27/06 |
| 60 | Horn Lake, City of, MS | 1/18/07 |
| 61 | Houston County of AL | 7/21/06 |
| 62 | High Tech Communications Services Inc | 3/16/07 |
| 63 | Highland Wireless Services, L.L.C. | 5/23/06 |
| 64 | Huntsville Radio Service | 3/27/07 |
| 65 | Ingram Grove Service Inc | 8/9/06 |
| 66 | Jack M Berry Inc. | 12/13/06 |
| 67 | Lakeland, City Of, FL | 4/6/07 |
| 68 | Lantana, Town of, FL | 3/5/07 |
| 69 | LAWRENCEVILLE, CITY OF, GA | 2/27/07 |
| 70 | Louisburg, Town of, NC | 8/15/06 |
| 71 | Louisiana Transit Company Inc. | 1/30/07 |
| 72 | Lykes Brothers Inc | 8/1/06 |
| 73 | M. E. Diversified Electronics, Inc | 2/23/07 |
| 74 | Madison, Parish of, LA | 11/17/06 |
| 75 | APM Terminals North America | 5/14/07 |
| 76 | McDonald Construction Corporation | 7/31/07 |
| 77 | MECKLENBURG, COUNTY OF, NC | 8/13/07 |
| 78 | MEDLEY, TOWN OF, FL | 10/16/07 |
| 79 | MIAMI SHORES, VILLAGE OF, FL | 9/12/07 |
| 80 | Miami Springs, City of, FL | 9/14/07 |
| 81 | Micro Technology Southeast | 3/5/07 |
| 82 | Modern Communications of Greenville Mississippi | 7/7/06 |

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| | | |
|-----|---|----------|
| 83 | Morganton, City of, NC | 8/28/06 |
| 84 | Neighborly Care Network, Inc. | 2/12/07 |
| 85 | NORTH CAROLINA, STATE OF | 3/23/07 |
| 86 | North Sight Communications, INC. | 7/12/07 |
| 87 | OGLETHORPE POWER CORP | 8/24/07 |
| 88 | Orange City, Of, FL | 8/6/07 |
| 89 | Oxford, City of, NC | 10/6/06 |
| 90 | Orange, County of, FL | 10/23/07 |
| 91 | Palm Beach, County of, FL | 3/15/07 |
| 92 | PALM BEACH, TOWN OF, FL | 10/25/07 |
| 93 | Palm Springs, Village of, FL | 6/19/07 |
| 94 | Patterson Communications & Electronics | 12/11/06 |
| 95 | Two Way Radio of Carolina | 8/13/07 |
| 96 | PINELLAS, COUNTY OF, FL | 10/8/07 |
| 97 | Pineville, Town of, NC | 3/12/07 |
| 98 | Purser, Mark | 2/26/07 |
| 99 | Quadratics Inc. | 7/14/06 |
| 100 | Rabalais Jr, Ronald J | 10/11/06 |
| 101 | Re Max Agents Realty Inc | 3/5/07 |
| 102 | Richland, City of, MS | 3/26/07 |
| 103 | Rodd Electronics Contract | 6/29/06 |
| 104 | Roswell, City of, GA | 4/2/07 |
| 105 | Rutherford Electric Membership Corp | 8/13/07 |
| 106 | S C Department Of Mental Health, SC | 1/3/07 |
| 107 | Saint Joseph Hospital INC | 10/17/06 |
| 108 | SAINT PETERSBURG, CITY OF, FL | 3/5/07 |
| 109 | Sea Coast Communications Inc | 5/14/07 |
| 110 | Shaw Industries Inc | 3/21/07 |
| 111 | Shreveport Communications | 7/11/06 |
| 112 | SNELLVILLE, CITY OF, GA | 4/19/07 |
| 113 | Sugar Cane Growers | 2/15/07 |
| 114 | SUMITOMO LIFE REALTY NY INC | 8/6/07 |
| 115 | Sunstate Communications Inc | 3/2/07 |
| 116 | Supershuttle International | 9/6/06 |
| 117 | South Sales Communications Inc | 8/6/07 |
| 118 | State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Companie | 3/27/07 |
| 119 | Tallapoosa, County Of, AL | 12/11/06 |
| 120 | Tallulah, City of, LA | 11/29/06 |
| 121 | Tampa Electric Company | 11/21/06 |
| 122 | TERREBONNE, PARISH OF, LA | 8/15/07 |
| 123 | Tri Co Communications | 8/10/06 |
| 124 | Tower Communications, Inc | 7/26/07 |
| 125 | Two Way Communications, Inc. LA | 11/2/06 |
| 126 | United States Sugar Corporation | 2/15/07 |
| 127 | Fulk, Gray L. | 7/26/06 |
| 128 | Vestavia Hills, City Of, AL | 12/6/06 |
| 129 | Vincent, Perry | 7/25/07 |
| 130 | VoiceLink Communications, Inc. | 9/7/07 |
| 131 | Washington, County of, FL | 11/27/06 |
| 132 | Waters Brothers Contracting | 9/15/06 |
| 133 | Wilemon, Jerry W | 5/17/06 |
| 134 | City of Wilson | 3/20/07 |
| 135 | Wireless Technology Equipment Company Inc | 10/27/06 |
| 136 | Woodard Communications Corporation | 11/7/06 |
| 137 | Waste Services of Florida | 11/17/06 |
| 138 | White, James | 9/8/06 |
| 139 | State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (3) | 9/27/06 |

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|----------|------------|
| 140 | CellSMR South Inc | 10/17/06 | |
| 141 | Mississippi DOT | 3/27/07 | |
| 142 | Columbus City of - Medical Center | 7/2/07 | |
| 143 | Dothan City Schools | 3/27/07 | |
| 144 | Alabama, University of | 4/16/07 | |
| 145 | Florida, State of - Ranger Drainage District | 12/28/06 | |
| 146 | Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority | 1/31/07 | |
| 147 | Martha Herby - Virgin Islands | 3/27/07 | |
| 148 | Donn Barr - Virgin Islands | 2/2/07 | |
| 149 | North Carolina, State of - DOC | 2/2/07 | |
| 150 | Perry, County of | 12/27/06 | |
| 151 | Whitley's Communications Service | 3/1/07 | |
| 152 | University of West Florida | 9/4/07 | |
| Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3 | | | 152 |
| Wave 4 | | | |
| 1 | Keller, Mike L. | 4/27/06 | |
| 2 | Johnson, Harold L #3 | 3/27/06 | |
| 3 | Hopkins, Terry (800 Rebanding) | 6/6/06 | |
| 4 | Brock, Harold:Samuel:Dorothy | 5/14/07 | |
| 5 | J.R. Simplot Company | 12/23/05 | |
| 6 | Abilene, City of, TX | 6/14/07 | |
| 7 | ABRAHAM, KYE A | 3/9/07 | |
| 8 | Aksala Electronics Inc. | 2/21/07 | |
| 9 | ARROW INDUSTRIES CORP | 7/10/07 | |
| 10 | Batelle Memorial Institute Inc, WA | 1/2/07 | |
| 11 | BLASDELL, JAMES A | 3/5/07 | |
| 12 | BRAUCHLE, JACK W | 2/27/07 | |
| 13 | Bumgarner, Jeffrey W | 5/23/07 | |
| 14 | Chingas, Joseph | 9/18/06 | |
| 15 | Capital Digitronics, Inc. | 8/28/07 | |
| 16 | ELLIS COMPANY PARTNERSHIP | 8/13/07 | |
| 17 | Gerhard, Stephen | 5/23/07 | |
| 18 | Geter, Kim:Geter, Regina | 10/17/07 | |
| 19 | GLEN J PETERSON AND SHIRLEY L PETERSON | 4/12/07 | |
| 20 | GRAND TRAVERSE, COUNTY OF, MI | 11/21/07 | |
| 21 | HAMILTON, COUNTY OF, OH | 8/13/07 | |
| 22 | HUMBLE, GARY:LEEPER, DEBRA | 12/7/07 | |
| 23 | Josh Klassen Inc. | 6/1/06 | |
| 24 | KARY, LAVERNE E:KARY, EMIL J DBA KARY GR | 9/14/07 | |
| 25 | Kronenfeld, Kurt #2 (Swap) | 7/25/06 | |
| 26 | Kuhn, Dale F:Kuhn, Trenton DBA Kuhns Network | 9/19/06 | |
| 27 | Middlesex, Township of, PA | 9/20/06 | |
| 28 | NEW PENN MOTOR EXPRESS | 3/27/07 | |
| 29 | OTTAWA, COUNTY OF, MI | 12/3/07 | |
| 30 | Pagel Partnership | 3/20/07 | |
| 31 | PETRIE, GLENN | 5/17/07 | |
| 32 | Rehoboth Mckinley Christian Hospital | 12/6/06 | |
| 33 | Rizzo, Alice P. | 11/9/06 | |
| 34 | RON MIEDEMA CEMENT CONTRACTOR INC | 4/30/07 | |
| 35 | SAMNADDA, TERRY | 5/14/07 | |
| 36 | Schatzlein, David A. #2 | 8/1/06 | |
| 37 | Sims, Vicki | 9/15/06 | |
| 38 | SMITH, JOY L | 5/25/07 | |
| 39 | SOUTHWEST WASHINGTON MEDICAL CENTER | 3/27/07 | |
| 40 | SPRAGUE, JO A | 8/6/07 | |
| 41 | STELLER, JO ANN | 4/12/07 | |
| 42 | Skitronics EA Swap | 11/16/07 | |

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| | | | |
|----|---|----------|------------|
| 43 | Texas Communications Inc. | 2/5/07 | |
| 44 | TUCKER, ALAN | 8/13/07 | |
| 45 | WELLMON, STEVE | 4/30/07 | |
| 46 | WHITE MOUNTAIN COMMUNICATIONS INC | 11/16/07 | |
| 47 | Wulf, Barbara J # 2 (swap) | 6/2/06 | |
| 48 | New York, State of - State Police/Albany Police | 8/13/07 | |
| 49 | Waste Management Holdings, Inc. | 2/9/07 | |
| 50 | Kronenfeld, Mark | 7/12/06 | |
| 51 | B&M Enterprises | 5/14/07 | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4 | | 51 |
| | Total Completed FRAs | | 627 |

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8

Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that are Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of December 31, 2007

| Stage 1 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|---|---------------|
| | Deal Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| Wave 1 | | | |
| 1 | Craig Antenna Service 2 | 12/20/2007 | |
| 2 | Myers Brothers Inc | 10/23/2007 | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1 | | 2 |
| Wave 2 | | | |
| 1 | Grays Knob Coal Co | 10/17/2007 | |
| 2 | Fayette, County of | 9/13/2007 | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2 | | 2 |
| Wave 3 | | | |
| 1 | MOUNTAIN PARK, CITY OF, GA | 2/26/07 | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3 | | 1 |
| Wave 4 | | | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4 | | 0 |
| Total FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review | | | 5 |

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 PFAs that have Closed, as of December 31, 2007

| Stage 1 Completed PFAs* | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---|------------|
| | Deal Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| Wave 1 | | | |
| 1 | Illinois Power Company | 7/12/2007 | |
| 2 | Roanoke, County of (VA) | 12/15/2006 | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1 | | 2 |
| Wave 2 | | | |
| 1 | Total Petrochemicals, USA, Inc. | 12/1/2006 | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2 | | 1 |
| Wave 3 | | | |
| 1 | LAMAR, COUNTY OF, MS | 9/28/2007 | |
| 2 | HOUSTON, COUNTY OF, GA | 10/15/2007 | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3 | | 2 |
| Wave 4 | | | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4 | | 0 |
| Total Completed PFAs | | | |

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the PFA as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 Completed FRAs, as of December 31, 2007

| Stage 2 Completed FRAs* | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|------------|
| | Deal Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| Wave 1 | | | |
| 1 | Milwaukee Area Tech College | 9/15/06 | |
| 2 | POUGHKEEPSIE, TOWN OF, NY | 8/6/07 | |
| 3 | ADAMS, COUNTY OF, PA PH II | 7/10/07 | |
| 4 | BLOOMINGTON, CITY OF, IN PH II | 3/15/07 | |
| 5 | BLUFFTON, CITY OF, IN PH II | 1/17/07 | |
| 6 | BRISTOL, CITY OF, CT PH II | 9/12/07 | |
| 7 | ELWOOD, CITY OF, IN PH II | 5/17/07 | |
| 8 | NEW ALBANY, CITY OF, IN PH II | 10/2/06 | |
| 9 | PENDLETON, TOWN OF, IN PH II | 1/17/07 | |
| 10 | WALWORTH, COUNTY OF, WI PH II | 7/2/07 | |
| 11 | WILMINGTON, CITY OF, DE PH II | 3/15/07 | |
| 12 | WISCONSIN CENTER DISTRICT, WI PH II | 8/13/07 | |
| | Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1 | | 12 |
| Wave 2 | | | |
| 1 | Lexington Fayette Urban County Government | 1/3/07 | |
| 2 | BLOOMINGTON, CITY OF, MN PH II | 9/28/07 | |
| 3 | JONESBORO, CITY OF, AR PH II | 7/31/07 | |
| 4 | LINN, COUNTY OF, IA PH II | 10/16/07 | |
| 5 | MADISONVILLE, CITY OF, KY PH II | 7/2/07 | |
| 6 | MOUNTAIN HOME, CITY OF, AR PH II | 7/25/07 | |
| 7 | MOWER, COUNTY OF, MN PH II | 12/10/07 | |
| 8 | Louisville, City of, KY | 3/20/07 | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2 | | 8 |
| Wave 3 | | | |
| 1 | HATTIESBURG, CITY OF, MS | 7/23/07 | |
| 2 | Crisp County School System, GA | 9/4/07 | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3 | | 2 |
| Wave 4 | | | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4 | | 0 |
| Total Completed FRAs | | | 22 |

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have closed, as of December 31, 2007

| Stage 2 Completed PFAs* | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------|
| | Deal Name | TA Completion Certification Receipt Date | Deal Count |
| Wave 1 | | | |
| 1 | Monterey, County of (CA) | 5/11/07 | |
| 2 | ROCK, COUNTY OF, WI PH II | 6/11/07 | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1 | | 2 |
| Wave 2 | | | |
| | DYERSBURG, CITY OF, TN PH II | 7/30/2007 | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2 | | 1 |
| Wave 3 | | | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3 | | 0 |
| Wave 4 | | | |
| | Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4 | | 0 |
| Total Completed FRAs | | | 3 |

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the PFA as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 9
Stakeholder Outreach Activities:
Meeting and Conference Attendance by TA Representatives
For Quarter Ended December 31, 2007 and Quarter Ending March 31, 2008

October 2007:

Indiana Implementation Planning Session on October 2, 2007
Illinois and Missouri (Region 13) Implementation Planning Session on October 4, 2007
Chicago Metro (Regions 45 and 54) Implementation Planning Session on October 11, 2007
Gulf Coast Regional NENA Conference in Orange Beach, AL on October 15, 2007
New England (Region 19) Implementation Planning Sessions on October 17-18, 2007
Southern California CPRA Meeting in Montebello, CA on October 18, 2007
Atlanta Area Interoperability Group Meeting in Tucker, GA on October 19, 2007
MTUG Meeting in Dallas, TX on October 24, 2007
State of Washington's IPS Meeting in Olympia, WA on October 24, 2007
Central Florida Interoperability and Planning Meeting on October 25, 2007
California Region 6 (San Francisco area) Implementation Planning Session on October 30, 2007

November 2007:

Oregon Implementation Planning Session in Beaverton, OR on November 8, 2007
NPSTC Meeting in Atlanta, GA on November 11-14, 2007
IWCE Wireless Summit in Orlando, FL on November 12-14, 2007
Nebraska Implementation Planning Session in Omaha, NE on November 13, 2007
Florida Rebanding Planning Meeting in Lake Buena Vista, FL on November 13, 2007
Florida Region 9 Regional Planning Status Meeting in Miami Beach, FL on November 13, 2007
Georgia Interoperability Meeting in Stone Mountain, GA on November 29, 2007

December 2007:

Iowa Implementation Planning Session in Des Moines, IA on December 4, 2007
Hampton Roads (Region 42) Trunked Users Group Meeting in Hampton Roads, VA on December 11, 2007
Nevada Implementation Planning Session Meeting in Reno, NV on December 13, 2007
Tennessee MTUG Meeting in Nashville, TN on December 17, 2007
Tennessee Region 39 RPC Meeting in Nashville, TN on December 18, 2007

Appendix 9
Stakeholder Outreach Activities:
Meeting and Conference Attendance by TA Representatives
For Quarter Ended December 31, 2007 and Quarter Ending March 31, 2008

Events for First Quarter of 2008:

State of Colorado (CCNC) Monthly Meeting on January 9, 2008
South Florida Interoperability Planning Meeting on January 10, 2008
CPRA/APCO on January 17, 2008
Georgia Interoperability Meeting on January 23, 2008
Florida Region V DSTF Meeting on January 27, 2008
APCO 2008 Winter Summit on January 28-30, 2008
State of Colorado (CCNC) Technical and Operations Meeting in Centennial, CO on February 1, 2008
Inter-Agency Board Interoperability Sub-Group Meeting in Alexandria, VA on February 7, 2008
NPSTC Meeting on February 11-13, 2008
State of Colorado (CCNC) Monthly Meeting in Centennial, CO on February 13, 2008
Colorado Chapter of APCO Meeting in Arvada, CO on February 15, 2008
Rocky Mountain EDACS Users Group Meeting in Aurora, CO on February 19, 2008
Florida RDSTF-5 Rebanding Interoperability Working Session in Orange County, FL on February 22, 2008
LA-SEIC Meeting in Baton Rouge, LA on February 27, 2008
IWCE Conference on February 27-29, 2008
Georgia APCO on March 5, 2008
Texas Region 40 (Central and Northeast) Implementation Planning Session in Dallas, TX on March 5, 2008
Texas Region 49 (Central - Austin Area) Implementation Planning Session in Austin, TX on March 7, 2008
New Jersey (Region 28) Implementation Planning Session on March 19, 2008
Oregon Chapter of APCO/NENA in Canyonville, OR on March 19-21, 2008
LA-SEIC Meeting in Baton Rouge, LA on March 26, 2008
APCO Western Regional Conference in Albuquerque, NM on March 30-April 2, 2008

Appendix 10
800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC
Fees and Expenses through December 31, 2007

| | Quarter Ending March 31, 2007 | Quarter Ending June 30, 2007 | Quarter Ending September 30, 2007 | Quarter Ending December 31, 2007 | Year-to-Date |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Fees: | | | | | |
| Public Safety Outreach* | \$1,384,935 | \$1,426,223 | \$1,565,436 | \$1,543,282 | \$5,919,875 |
| Reconfiguration Management | \$2,085,723 | \$1,961,618 | \$1,406,654 | \$1,067,028 | \$6,521,023 |
| Frequency Management** | \$656,238 | \$612,551 | \$574,946 | \$570,249 | \$2,413,983 |
| Financial Management | \$693,563 | \$616,067 | \$699,244 | \$752,879 | \$2,761,753 |
| General Counsel/Regulatory Management | \$1,802,139 | \$1,691,787 | \$1,684,637 | \$1,537,813 | \$6,716,376 |
| Stakeholder Relationship Management | \$1,031,863 | \$878,874 | \$810,382 | \$781,383 | \$3,502,501 |
| TA Systems Support | \$437,132 | \$461,721 | \$440,720 | \$422,911 | \$1,762,484 |
| Program Management Support | \$594,842 | \$582,155 | \$510,892 | \$484,407 | \$2,172,295 |
| Alternative Dispute Resolution | \$2,206,723 | \$1,883,780 | \$1,703,600 | \$1,436,369 | \$7,230,471 |
| <i>Fees Subtotal</i> | \$10,893,155 | \$10,114,774 | \$9,396,509 | \$8,596,321 | \$39,000,759 |
| Expenses: | \$121,693 | \$232,348 | \$173,983 | \$149,635 | \$677,659 |
| Total Fees and Expenses | \$11,014,848 | \$10,347,122 | \$9,570,492 | \$8,745,956 | \$39,678,418 |

* Prior to the quarter ended June 30, 2006, Public Safety Outreach fees were reported under Reconfiguration Management.

** During the quarters ended December 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005, all Frequency Management fees were reported under Reconfiguration Management.